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#### Abstract

The aim of this research was to find out whether the use of classroom material, which has been deliberately developed by the teacher, is more effective for lexical learning purposes in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves than the ready-made material.

The research was carried out in eight sessions where two groups participated. Eight topics were taught with two different types of material; both groups were exposed to non-authentic material. Group one utilized a booklet and the other utilized deliberately developed material in order to demonstrate which of the two materials was more effective to learn vocabulary.

The results showed that both materials benefit equally when learning specific vocabulary. A cause for this could be the intrinsic motivation that dynamically changed during the sessions, and also the external variables that influenced the motivational condition of the learners.


## Resumen

El principal objetivo de esta investigación era descubrir si el uso del material utilizado en la sala de clases que ha sido deliberadamente desarrollado por el profesor es más efectivo que el material ya confeccionado para el aprendizaje de vocabulario de estudiantes de primer año de enseñanza media en el Colegio Técnico Las Nieves.

La investigación fue llevada a cabo en ocho sesiones en las que participaron dos grupos. Fueron enseñados ocho tópicos con dos materiales diferentes ambos materiales utilizaron material no autentico. El grupo uno utilizó un compilado de actividades de diferentes libros (booklet) y el otro utilizó el material deliberadamente desarrollado en orden de demostrar cuál de los dos materiales es más efectivo para aprender vocabulario.

Los resultados mostraron que ambos materiales son beneficiosos cuando se aprende vocabulario específico. Una causa para esto pudo ser la motivación intrínseca que dinámicamente cambio durante las sesiones, y también las variables externas que influenciaron la condición motivacional de los estudiantes.

## CHAPTER I: THE STUDY

### 1.1 Problem Statement

Learning a foreign language involves the development of communicative competences that allow expressing and understanding different messages and use them in ordinary situations. Each school is unique and represents different realities; therefore teachers must take students' previous knowledge and their needs into consideration to prepare their lessons in order of designing material more attractive and motivational so it can exist significant knowledge.

During our experience as teacher trainees, we have been able to observe that teachers tend to rely too much on the ready-made material that have been selected for each class; nevertheless, we have noticed that ready-made material usually lack creativity and attractiveness that motivates students to concentrate on classwork. Likewise, we have noticed that students feel somehow more motivated to participate and work in class when they are presented with material that has been deliberately created for the lesson, considering the real needs each particular class may present.

According to that, the researchers wondered why some teachers choose to prepare their lessons based on ready-made material whereas others choose to create their own one. And from this question arises our problem: Is the use of developed material more effective than the use of ready-material for vocabulary learning purposes?

### 1.2 Hypotheses

### 1.2.1 Working Hypothesis

The use of deliberately developed material in the classroom makes vocabulary learning more effective than the use of English textbooks/student's book in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves, during the second term of 2015.

### 1.2.2 Null Hypothesis

The use of deliberately developed material in the classroom does not make vocabulary learning more effective than the use of English textbooks/student's book in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves, during the second term of 2015.

### 1.2.3 Alternative Hypothesis

The use of deliberately developed material as well as the use of English textbooks /student's books are both beneficial when learning vocabulary in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves, during the second term of 2015.

### 1.3 General Objective

- To find out whether the use of classroom material, which has been deliberately developed, is more effective for vocabulary learning in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves, compared to the use of ready-made material, in this case, a given textbook.


### 1.4 Specific Objectives

- To compare the effect of the use of material developed by the teacher versus ready-made material.
- To analyze the advantages and disadvantages of developed material and ready-made material.
- To develop appropriate material of eight topics for vocabulary learning.

CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the most important concepts and theories will be presented in order to clarify each term to understand the concepts on what this research is focused.

The review of the literature allows the reader to understand the direction and development of the research. To initiate the research, it would be relevant to clarify certain crucial concepts that help to find out the answer to the research question and to solve the problem statement.

The framework of the research will be divided in four main branches and within those terms will be presented the concepts and theories related to the main topics.

In order to understand the best the research, a mind map that includes the concepts, and theories presented in the theoretical framework will be presented.

[17]

### 2.2 Teaching

In this section of the theoretical framework the national curriculum proposals according to the topics that the researchers chose for the workshop material are explained. It is also relevant to mention the theory of behaviourism and operant conditioning in order to note the importance of conducting the students' behaviour.

### 2.2.1 National Curriculum

The concept of National curriculum defined by the LOCE by Cristián Cox (2011) in his work "Curriculum escolar de Chile: génesis, implementación y desarrollo" corresponds to the annual objectives and contents presented to the educational institutions in sense of its similarity with the standard contents.

The role of the national curriculum is essential because it provides the tools that all teachers of the country need to plan their lessons (Mineduc, 2014). It not only establishes the proper time and contents, it also suggests about the methodologies that a teacher should use. However, time and class behavior in addition to other sources are not often taken into consideration in order to create an appropriate class environment (Mineduc, 2014).

According to "Programa de estudio de Inglés" (Mineduc, 2011 p.37, 53, 67 and 81) the topics that should be taught at the end of the 9th grade are:

- "Important people as: football players, singers, musicians, among others.
- Places and attractions for teenagers as: stadiums, concerts, clubs, parks, fairs, universities, and schools.
- People's health as: headache, stomachache, flu and cold.
- Sports such as tennis, football and basketball
- Food and meals for instance: fruits, salads, desserts, juices, meat, breakfast, lunch and dinner.
- How teenagers live in other countries for instance: what they do to have fun, their manners, their schools and their typical foods.
- Traditions in other countries, literature and music as folklore holidays, legends, handcraft, among others."


### 2.2.2 Behaviourism

During the research, it is inevitable to mention the concept of behavior that leads us to the theory of behaviorism. It is due to the fact that students' behavior is a variable that could be changed by a series of factors such as motivation, the affective filter and also the way material is presented to them.

For this reason, some of the main ideas that surround this concept will be presented.

One important theory related to behaviorism is proposed by John B. Watson which involves all the observable events and how people could repeat an action trough a stimuli, a response and a consequence. Watson defines behaviorism on his work "Behaviorism" as:
"The scientific study of human behavior. Its real goal is to provide the basis for prediction and control of human beings: Given the situation, to tell what the human being will do; given the man in action, to be able to say why he is reacting in that way." (Watson, 1928, p.2)

Following this idea, there are different theories related to the possibility of changing someone's behavior through conducting an action. But closer to the direction of the research is the "The Operant Conditioning", in sense of its relevance with the students' behavior and the way they utilize material.

### 2.2.3 Operant Conditioning

The researchers relate the idea of Operant Conditioning with the situations that occur in the educational environment and what students do during their learning process. This theory is included because the researchers consider students' behavior an important factor when assessing and planning. In this sense, Hergenhahn (1982) on his work "An introduction of theories of learning" states:
"Operant behaviours are those that pretend to be spontaneous, because the person to who is applied the stimulus is not aware of the situation, and according to Skinner "it is not important to know its cause" (Skinner in Hergenhahn, 1982, p.84)

In this research teachers worked to improve students' English vocabulary level during the intervention, through different stimuli and positive reinforcement in order to achieve a positive response and at the same time to motivate them to reach positive results in terms of learning vocabulary.

The most relevant author that deals with the operant conditioning is Skinner.

Skinner in his investigation "The behavior of organisms" focused on the operant conditioning claims that: "If the occurrence of the operant is followed by presentation of a reinforcing stimulus, the strength is increased" (Skinner, 1938, p.21) Meaning that the strength of conditioning is usually measured by response rate.

From the establishment of the concepts of reinforcing, stimulus can be stand out in another important work of Skinner (1961) "The Analysis of Behavior: A Program for Self-Instruction" where he mentions a set of concepts used when the conditioning is applied, but we mainly focused on those that are usually used by some teachers in their lessons:
"1. Positive reinforcement - a response that is followed by the presentation of a satisfying stimulus tends to be repeated.
2. Negative reinforcement - a response that is followed by the removal of an aversive stimulus tends to be repeated.
3. Punishment - a response that is followed by the presentation of an aversive stimulus becomes less frequent. '"(Skinner, 1961, p.153)

We believe that behavior is a factor that has to be noticed by the teacher because it helps us to model students' behavior for them to perform better during the lessons.

### 2.3 Learning

In this section of the theoretical framework concepts related to the learning process are going to be mentioned. Among the topics that can be found are learning vs. acquisition, the idea of retention when learning, significant knowledge and vocabulary which is the main focus of this research.

### 2.3.1 Learning vs. Acquisition

When people talk about a second language, two concepts arise: learning and acquisition. It is important to establish the differences between these two concepts to speak properly when the terms come out during the development of the research. For this, the terms of learning and acquisition will be presented and clarified due to the fact that the research works closer to the term learning instead of acquisition.

Krashen mentions the meaning of these two concepts. He states that "The result of language acquisition is subconscious. We are generally not consciously aware of the rules of the languages we have acquired." (Krashen, 1981, p.10)

It is known by the researchers that when referring to teaching terms, it is more appropriate to say that a person "learns" a language, because the situation is formal, as it occurs in a classroom. Unlike what happens with the term of acquisition, that is
usually referred to the situation where a person lives in an environment where faces a second language daily, for instance when a person lives in another country.

In contrast, he suggests that learning refers to:
"...conscious knowledge of a second language, knowing the rules, being aware of them, and being able to talk about them. ' (Krashen, 1981, p.10)

Krashen (1895) says that this process involves a monitor, who in this case is the teacher, who can make corrections in order to change the output of the acquired system before the students speak or write. The students are conscious and concerned about correctness and must know the grammatical rules.

In addition to this, Krashen states:
"Learning a conscious knowledge, serves only as an editor or monitor" (Krashen, 1985, p.80). Meaning that learning refers more to an editor of the input received, that is in charge of monitoring the information.

### 2.3.2 Retention

The term of retention arises when talking about learning vocabulary. According to this, Walsh and Ungson stated that:
"Knowledge retention involves capturing knowledge in the organization so that it can be used later" (Walsh and Ungson, 1991, p.61)

In addition, Sousa, in his work "How the Brain Learns" explains that:"Learning retention depends on the moment that the information is presented. It follows a memory pattern called primacy - recency effect" (Sousa, 2000, p.92)

This explains that the knowledge that is retained most during learning is what is presented first and then what is presented at the end; while the part with least retention comes after the portion in the middle.

Sousa (2000) explains that this pattern process deals with the ability that the operating memory has. He states that it follows a sequence: The initial information is analyzed, and then the next information exceeds the capacity of the operating memory. Finally, the last piece of information appears when the operational memory is free to process information again.

This concept is connected with the learning process, and is what the researchers just utilized as a tool during the development of the intervention of the research. Because
it is the opposite idea of what the researches have of learning that is related to the idea of a meaningful learning.

### 2.3.3 Significant Knowledge

In the book "Educational Psychology: a cognitive view" David Ausubel states that: "The human mechanism acquires and stores vast amounts of information in any field of knowledge". (Ausubel, 1983, p.305)

When the new information is tied with the existent content the process takes place being the previous knowledge available for acting as a link between both the old and the new information.

According to this, the significant material needs to be presented in a clear way and to be well organized. This means that the ideas must be presented from the most general to more specific, always considering the main ideas of what it is going to be taught.

In addition Gilbert (2002) stated that there are other factors such as predisposition and motivation that have an influence in the learning process of students and in order to generate meaningful learning, the material should be focused on the students.

In the 60s Ausubel (2000) proposed that motivation was a necessary element to get a meaningful learning. He proved that for the existence of learning there must be a subjective disposition in the students.

In fact Ausubel thought (1983) that every student needed a different degree of attention, knowing that every student has a different form to direct their attention (visual and auditory form, interaction with others, etc). That is the reason why the attractiveness of the didactics activities is a strong point to notice in this investigation.

### 2.3.4 Vocabulary

Vocabulary is a very common topic when teaching a foreign language, because it composes, in a specific way, language. The thesis group decided to focus the research on this topic. The analysis, performance and development of the research will be based on how students learn the vocabulary shown by the researchers.

In this sense, it is important to know what vocabulary is. Ur (1996) defined Vocabulary, and her idea is:
"Vocabulary can be defined, roughly, as the words we teach in the foreign language. " (Ur 1996, p.60)

In contrast, Ur (1996) also mentions the importance of considering vocabulary not just as isolated words.
"A useful convention is to cover all such cases by talking about vocabulary 'items' rather than 'words' " (Ur 1996, p.60)

So, when teachers decided what topics they were going to work with, it was necessary to establish how the new vocabulary was going to be presented.

Based on the Penny Ur book "A course in language teaching" new vocabulary can be presented as follows:
"Ways of presenting the meaning of new items.

- Concise definition (in a dictionary)
- Detailed description
- Examples (Hyponyms)
- Illustration (in pictures or with objects)
- Demonstration (with mime, or acting)
- Context (in a story or sentences)
- Synonyms/antonyms
- Associated ideas, collocations" (Ur 1996, p.63)

But further than making students memorize words, the thesis group is looking for students to learn vocabulary in context.

The contextualization of vocabulary was developed through the material that was utilized on each session of the workshop during the activities. (For Lesson Plans and Material see appendix C and G).

### 2.4 Students

Students are the subjects who play the most important role on the research, and are the third topic that gather the concepts related to students, between those can be found the theory of affective filter, motivation, and learning styles.

### 2.4.1 Affective Filter

We believe that when all learners are in the difficult process of learning a second language; have an imperceptible filter inside of them that has the possibility to result in tension, lack of self-confidence and anxiety. We think that this filter is very important when learning another language.

Some students, based on our observations during the sessions of the workshop, have an intuitively low affective filter and are moderately confident about learning a second language, but many other students have experienced nervousness and inability to successfully comprehend or communicate well in another language. They sweat and stammer the language. They cannot control what comes out of their mouth.

In this sense the affective filter, as we could realize, can increase or low proficiency when learning a second language.

Many researchers in the area of educational psychology say that effective factors may be the main cause of the lack of knowing of the student at the moment of being
challenged when learning a second language. These factors according to Scovel (1978) are closely related to the personal motivations and emotional reactions of each learner. (Scovel, 1978, in Finch, 2005) and come from the limbic system that controls behavior, long-term memory and emotion.

Another author, (Finch, 2005, p.3) defined these factors as "motivation, attitudes to learning, self-esteem, anxiety, linguistic courage and cultural pressures". He argued that these 6 variables required "serious attention from educators because "learners 'attitudes control learning".

Based on what Krashen on his book "Principles and practices in Second Language Acquisition" states that "...input is the primary causative variable in second language acquisition, affective variables acting to impede or facilitate the delivery of input to the language acquisition device" (Krashen S., 1982, p.32) it can be perceived that this author states that input is the main factor when learning a second language and that motivation and the affective filter are the variables that modify the way that input is received by the learners.

These theories help us to understand the problem and whether it is being caused by the students' weaknesses or if it is the material used in the lessons.

### 2.4.2 Motivation

The researchers consider motivation as an internal process that gives the behavior energy, direction and persistence. This concept is an important factor that takes place during the learning process. Learning a new language takes time and dedication. Once you do, being fluent in a second language offers numerous benefits and opportunities.

In this research, motivation plays an important role for understanding the best ways to teach lexis or vocabulary words, and for realizing why some students take it as a meaningful learning and some others do not.
"Learners’ motivation varies because of many endogenous (i.e., internal or inner inspiration) and exogenous (i.e., external to human personality) factors, such as socio-cultural backgrounds, professional needs, and language requirements for international education. Endogenous factors bring pleasure to a learner, and exogenous factors relate to the tangible benefits related to an activity" (Noels, Clement, \& Pelletier, 1999, p.23-34).

Because of this research is about material, motivation issues are focused on the attractiveness of it (in sense of material as a motivational source) when teaching on the sessions. Making learning an enjoyable experience is crucial to maintaining learners' motivation (McDonough, 2007). This strategy should be considered
strongly when making a lesson plan for a period by utilizing a motivation-sensitive teaching method. First of all, audiovisual materials, tasks, and class activities should be related to learners' interests. Second, the teacher should always give students choices in conveying a task, and learners' preferences should get significance. Third, an extracurricular component in the course is a very necessary feature so that elements like music and humor can be incorporated in teaching, consequently, increasing learning opportunities beyond regular lessons (Kumaravadivelu, 2003).

There are some others factors that help in sustaining the motivation as well as appreciating the learner's efforts making learning a good experience. Creating opportunities for autonomous learning, such as projects involving active collaboration among learners is one of the most effective methods for that.

There are a number of motivational strategies depending on the stage of motivation: creating conditions for motivation to happen, generating initial motivation, keeping and protecting motivation and self-evaluation. These stages are related to Dörnyei and Ottó's (1998) process model of L2 motivation which is focused on the ongoing changes of motivation over time and that its dynamicity feature is crucial for the study of how it affects learning. This is proposed in order to explain the process of motivation itself and it describes how initial wishes and desires are first transformed into goals and then into operationalized intentions, and how these intentions are
enacted, leading to the accomplishment of the goal and concluded by the final evaluation of the process.

A study concluded that learners' levels of proficiency may be an important factor which can determine the effectiveness of teaching strategies as a motivator for learners:
"Whatever the reason is, the results suggest that L2 learners' intensity of motivation changes as they study English and their proficiency level increases." The importance of taking changes in motivation into consideration has been strongly advocated by Dörnyei (2000) who stated that "during the lengthy process of mastering certain subject matters, motivation does not remain constant but is associated with a dynamically changing and evolving mental process, characterized by constant (re) appraisal and balancing of the various internal and external influences that the individual is exposed to" (Dörnyei, 2000, p.523).

One of the most important aspects of motivational strategies is that not every strategy works in every context and that although some strategies have good results, in some other learners do not. For that reason, teachers have to be aware of that and apply the motivational strategies that are more suitable according to the characteristics of their students.

Motivation is one of the most important individual factors that contributes to the success and proficiency of a foreign language.

### 2.4.3 Learning Styles

Every human being has different characteristics that make them unique in the world. If we apply this idea to the learning process we will realize that when a student learns, he or she uses different techniques to process and understand a topic.

Oxford (1989) suggests that when talking about 'learning style' we are referring to someone's general approach to learn and it depends on the person's cognitive, affective and behavioural characteristics.

Another author that points out the term 'learning style' is Kolb in Chickering's work
"The modern American college" (1981) who claims:
"As a result of our hereditary equipment, our particular past life experience, and the demands of our present environment, most of us develop learning styles that emphasize some learning abilities over others "(Kolb in Chickering, Arthur, 1981, p.237)

The use of different learning styles is determined by the unique characteristics of each person, as the authors above mentioned. It influences the teaching-learning process, in this case when learning a second language.

Hence, teachers should be aware of these varieties when teaching English as a foreign language in order to make students improve and to teach them according to the different styles.

The main and most common learning styles known as the VARK model proposed by Flemming (2001) in his work "How do I learn best? A Student's guide to improved learning: VARK - visual, aural, read/write, kinesthetic" is mentioned as follows:

- "Visual learners: Preference for use visual resources such as diagrams, pictures, and videos. Like to see people in action.
- Auditory Learners: Need to talk about situations and ideas with a range of people; enjoy hearing stories from others.
- Reader/Writer: Prolific note-taker; textbooks are important; extensive use of journals to write down the facts and stories.
- Kinesthetic learners: Preference for hands on experience within a 'real' setting and for global learning." (Robertson, L., Smellie, T., Wilson, P., \& Cox, L., 2011, p.36)

Teachers can find these types of learners in their classrooms. So, it is important to be aware of the multiple differences that could appear and how that influences during the teaching-learning process.

Although the research is based on VARK model, it is important to mention that another theory exists that classifies learners in eight types of intelligences proposed by Howard Gardner in the 80 's.

Within the multiple intelligences proposed by Gardner can be found the following:
"Dr. Gardner proposes eight different intelligences to account for a broader range of human potential in children and adults. These intelligences are:

- "Linguistic intelligence ("word smart")
- Logical-mathematical intelligence ("number/reasoning smart")
- Spatial intelligence ("picture smart")
- Bodily-Kinesthetic intelligence ("body smart")
- Musical intelligence ("music smart")
- Interpersonal intelligence ("people smart")
- Intrapersonal intelligence ("self smart")
- Naturalist intelligence ("nature smart")" (Institute4learning.com,(2015). Multiple Intelligences by Dr. Thomas Armstrong)

It is significant to remark that for the researchers to know the learning style averages of students was of essential importance because of the commitment of planning for the students and their convenience. In this terms, to research about this topic was
useful for understanding the remarkable role of the material and that it has to follow the students' patterns.

### 2.5 Material

There are different types of materials but, nowadays, computers and technology are the most important teaching tool. In this sense, Ur mentions:
"These days learners need to be "computer literate" and since computers use language it would seem logical to take advantage of them form language learning. They enable individual work since learners can progress at their own pace, and many programs include a self- check facility. Also younger and adolescent learners in particular find the computers attractive and motivating." (Ur, 1996, p.190)

Another important material are audiovisual aids such as data show projectors; this one allows teachers presenting PPT, to show videos, to do online activities and games. They are more interesting and attention-catching than whiteboards.

But we also have to consider that many schools are still not working with these technological resources in their classrooms, so there are teachers that use alternative materials as Ur exposes:
"...Posters pictures and games; Materials of this kind are invaluable particularly for younger learners and teacher of children find that they constantly use them. However if you have time this type of material can be largely home-made: glossy magazines in particular are an excellent source of pictures." (Ur 1996, p.191)

Finally the main materials that teachers use in their lessons are books because according to Ur:
"Are easy to use, they are light and they don't need a hardware or electricity to be used. They are still the most convenient and popular method of packaging large texts and a library of them is arguably the best way for learners to acquire a wide experience of foreign language reading. " (Ur 1996, p.191)

From these types of material, continues the classification between Authentic and unauthentic Material, because the research is focused on the Unauthentic material and according to that on textbooks and deliberately developed material.

### 2.5.1 Authentic Material vs. Unauthentic Material

Authentic material is mainly referred to the resources that are not prepared neither manipulated for educational purposes, i.e. that are in a sense original in their form and people or teachers in this case do not manipulate the resource to teach.

Rogers in 1988 defined and developed a meaning of authentic material:
"appropriate and quality in terms of goals, objectives, learner needs and interest and 'natural' in terms of real life and meaningful communication" (Rogers, 1988,p.467).

Nowadays "Authentic materials" are reading texts that were written by native speakers and published in contexts designed specifically for native-speaker consumption, with no thought given to non-native accessibility. The topics, language, syntax, structure, etc., are all pitched at a target audience of native speakers and offered through media intended primarily for native speakers.' (Lansford,2014).

Whereas the Non-Authentic material which is anything produced expressly for language learning, while anything uttered for any other purpose is authentic. Thus, "Texts are said to be authentic if they are genuine instances of language use as opposed to exemplars devised specifically for language teaching purposes" (Johnson and Johnson 1998, p.24).

These two types of material are mentioned, because the research is based on the use and development of the unauthentic material as they are 'Textbooks' and 'Deliberately developed material', with the ones we worked with in the workshop.

### 2.5.2 Textbooks

Textbooks are an important factor of this research, because is one of the materials used during the intervention, and also its use makes possible the development of conclusions and to answer the problem statement.

The group chooses to analyze the results of working with these types of material, due to what have observed from the schools where we are working. The thesis group has found the common use of textbooks from teachers to design and prepare their lessons, for different reasons such as the need of put their students at the same level of English, or to make easier their work due to excessive work required in schools.

A critique by Leslie E. Sheldon (1987) to textbooks and the different issues they may have, was "Basically, as Swales (1980) observes the textbook is a 'problem' evincing a complex of difficulties in its creation, distribution, exploitation and, ultimately, evaluation. Given the fact that textbooks often claim too much for themselves, for example by purporting to be suitable for all students at all levels, the dashing of expectations at the chalk face is inevitable." (Swales in Sheldon, Leslie E., 1987, p.16)

On the other hand it is the deliberately developed material, which is less used by teachers, but it could motivate and get students' attention during their learning process.

### 2.5.3 Deliberately Developed Material

"We prefer the term materials development since it offers a more inclusive definition. It embraces an array of behaviors leading to fostering effective teaching and learning settings. Moreover, it includes the adaptation and/or creation of a learning-teaching exercise, a task, an activity, a lesson, a unit, or a module composed by one or two units. Materials development implies the combination of both reasoning and artistic processes." (Nuñez, 2009, p.171-186)

In terms of vocabulary and the design of material for teaching it, students should be taught with it many times and in different contexts that have to be created by teachers.

That is why teachers have to emphasize the selecting of appropriate play materials to develop the bank of vocabulary of students through significant knowledge. "Play is a great socializing force. ... Cooperation, the ability to get on with others, and the 'give and take‘ which is so necessary to successful life adjustment may be developed through such play materials as housekeeping toys, dishes, balls, blocks, and games of all sorts" (Kawin, 1934, p.124)

## CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

### 3.1 Introduction

This chapter presents the methodological process that was employed during our research.

The research was implemented with 5 students of each 9th grade class in Colegio Técnico Las Nieves. It was important to know which of the two materials is more effective when learning specific vocabulary to approve or refuse our initial hypothesis.

Prior to the use of deliberately developed material and ready-made material, the research began with the application of a diagnostic test and learning style questionnaire. Then, during the development of the workshop, one of the researchers observed the effect of materials on the learning process of each group. Finally, two final tests were applied, which gave us the results of the research.

Firstly, a diagnostic test, prepared by a researcher of the group and validated by teachers from other schools, was employed. The test was applied to 24 students from the school where the research was developed in order to ascertain the level of English of the students, how many words they knew and which students had the lowest level of English. The students answered 29 questions about vocabulary of eight selected topics. According to the score students obtained, they were divided into the experimental and control group.

Secondly, the research was focused on planning the sessions and on the creation of the deliberately developed material according to the results of learning style questionnaire. Then, during the sessions, the researchers observed the development of the lessons and how students worked with the material.

After the development of the workshop, the final tests were applied to compare the results and conclude which of the materials is more effective when students are learning vocabulary.

Finally, the diagnostic, the observations during the sessions and the results of the final tests allowed us to determine which material is more effective when learning vocabulary of specific topics and if there are other factors involved that influenced the process and the results.

### 3.2 Type of Study

The type of study of the research corresponds to a descriptive study. As Sampieri (2006) says, in a descriptive study, the information selected, collected and measured helps to clarify a series of questions of the research considering the phenomenon of study and the variables. That is why all the variables collected in this study are described.

The research responds to a qualitative-quantitative investigation because the collection of data of this study contained a numerical and analytical measurement; because the development of the students was observed during the workshop sessions to see their progress, and it was also acquired in specific terms by numerical results to compare the effectiveness of both materials.

And it was based on a quasi- experimental design. Therefore, the principal feature of the quasi-experimental design is that the research cannot do randomization of subjects to experimental and control groups but they could control the dates and material that was used on each session (Gamal, 2010).

Thus, after obtaining the results of the diagnostic test the control and experimental group was established, this transforms the investigation into a quasi-experimental study. The experimental group was exposed to the deliberately developed material whereas the control group was exposed to the ready-made material.

### 3.3 Variables

In this section, the variables of our research and the importance of each one in this investigation are going to be described.

The variables are:

1. Material
2. Vocabulary

The research was focused on material and how it influenced the results. It is important to indicate that it refers to the booklet and deliberately developed material.

The booklet that was used on the control group was a compilation of different books on an elementary level that included the topics selected by the researchers.

The deliberately developed material was created by the researchers of the group according to the information that was obtained from the diagnostic test and also according to the topics previously selected.

The creation of the material was basically based on games and it helped during the development of the workshop because they encouraged the motivation of students in the activities and the retention of the vocabulary that was taught.

The second variable of the research is vocabulary, which is in a sense the basis when learning a second language. Due to the importance of vocabulary for communication, the researchers chose to work with this subject.

The topics and the vocabulary were selected according to contents about which students display knowledge and were chosen because of its proximity to the students' daily life. The 8 topics selected were the following:

- Classroom
- Places
- People we know
- Family
- Clothes
- Animals
- Healthy Life
- Food


### 3.4 Type of Sample

During this section the type of sample and the process of the subject selection will be described.

The selection of the subjects corresponds to a non- probabilistic convenience sample because only 25 students of 225 , who were in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade from a high school in Santiago, were part of the research.

### 3.4.1 Subjects Selection

The students who were selected were five learners of each $9^{\text {th }}$ grade class ,who had the lowest marks in the English subject ,during the first term of 2015. They were divided into two different groups: The experimental and the control group.

The students of each group were selected from Colegio Técnico Las Nieves located in \#02188 Concha y Toro, Puente Alto. This is a subsidized school and it has a total of approximately eight hundred and fifty five students.

The final number of students was of 24 students, because one of the 25 students initially selected did not attend to the diagnostic test and to the workshop.

The experimental group, which worked with the deliberately developed material, was designated to the students with the lowest score on the diagnostic test and it was
composed of 12 students; the control group was designated to the students who had the highest scores on the diagnostic test and was composed of 12 students. This group was called the "control group" because it worked with the ready-made material. The students' average is 14 years of age.

The number of the pedagogical hours of the English workshop was of two hours per week (Tuesday from 3:30 pm to 5:00 pm). The level of mastery of English as a foreign language can be characterized as pre- elementary. But the implemented level was elementary because it was necessary to improve the students' level of English on each session.

### 3.5 Stages of the Study

The study started based on the observations made during the first semester by two of the researchers in the school.

The first stage of the study consisted in the application of an interview directed to the two English teachers of the school to identify the context where the research was placed. It allowed the researches to recognize approximately the level of English of the students, the students' learning style, and the type of material used during the development of regular lessons.

The second stage after the interview, was to analyze the MINEDUC's schemes of work to select which level of English the researchers employed, then the topics that were part of the research were chosen, and finally with that information the books to make the compilation to the ready-made material were selected.

The third stage was the employment of a diagnostic test. It showed in numerical terms how much students knew about the topics evaluated. Then with the results the researchers established who the students with the lowest and highest scores are in order to divide the group into the controlled and experimental group.

The fourth stage was to implement a learning style questionnaire that can be found online. It permitted the researches realize with which type of students they were going to work.

The fifth stage was planning the lessons for both groups based on Presentation, Practice and Production (PPP) planning, then the creation of the deliberately developed material followed, according to three factors: the students learning style, students' level of English and the topics previously selected. (For lesson plans, see Appendix C)

The sixth stage was to carry out the sessions of the workshop, which involved eight sessions (two per week). Each session included a list of ten words approximately of each topic; and it also included two games played during the warm up and the wrap
up. The sessions took place in parallel, with two researchers per group acting as teachers, and the fifth member was acting as an observer, making notes on how each session developed.

The control and experimental group started and finished their sessions with games of the same type. For this reason the only difference was on the development of the session (presentation, practice and production).

- The Control group worked with a booklet (a compilation of different books that contained activities of the selected topics). During the presentation the students usually had to recognize the list of words of each session shown on the booklet. The practice consisted of the development of activities taught by the teachers; the activities were mainly written and reading activities.

The production consisted of the students' autonomous and group work based on activities that appeared on the booklet (See Appendix F).

- The Experimental group worked with the deliberately developed material (material created by the researcher according to the learning styles of students and the level of English of the group). (See Appendix G).

During the presentation students recognized the vocabulary list of the corresponding session, usually on flashcards, posters and pictures. Then during the practice students worked with the guidance of the two teachers on
the classroom applying the vocabulary taught during the presentation on speaking activities such as labeling objects and saying the word; saying a description including the vocabulary asked; guessing the words individually or in groups; matching on the whiteboard among others.

And the production consisted of the students' autonomous work. Students worked with worksheets created by the researchers, which included matching activities, picture crosswords, drawing and painting, dressing the character and completing a worksheet and labeling the pictures of every session.

The final stage was to apply the final tests. It allowed the researchers to establish students' progress and also to recognize which of the two materials was more effective. The final evaluation was applied in two tests: a written test and listening test in order to assess the visual and auditory skills of students.

### 3.6 Data Collection Instruments

In this section the instruments that were applied to gather the information in Colegio Técnico Las Nieves will be described.

Before the description of each instrument, it is important to mention that teachers from other schools before its application validated each instrument.

### 3.6.1 Interview

The interview (See appendix A) was applied to two teachers of the school where the research took place. It had ten questions, divided in 4 topics (Work and experience at the school; Relationship with the students; English level of students and the national curricula; and finally about the material). This interview is an unstructured interview due to the fact that the interviewer conducts the questions based on a script, but the questions are open and have no standardization, as Sampieri (2006) claims in his work "Metodología de la Investigación".

The purpose of the interview was to know about the relationship between teachers and students of the school where the research took place, and also to identify which strategies and material these teachers used, in order to clarify how these two important aspects influenced the students' learning process.

### 3.6.2 Diagnostic Test

The objective of the diagnostic test was to determine how much students knew about the topics previously selected. Also it allowed to establish which students belonged to each group (the control and experimental group).

The diagnostic test (See appendix B) consisted of 29 questions of one point each, distributed into five items, where students had to recognize 45 words. At the
beginning the diagnostic test specified and clarified to the students the fact that they did not get a mark, but a score. The five items consisted of the recognition of vocabulary of the eight topics selected for the research. The vocabulary was mixed and distributed in the five items. The item I, IV and V contained pictures for a visual recognition of the words. The second item consists of the discrimination in five different groups of words and the item III, consisted of relating the word in English with its meaning in Spanish.

### 3.6.3 Learning Styles Questionnaire

The objective of the application of this questionnaire was to get to know the learning style of each student and according to that create the material for the experimental group. The learning style questionnaire (See appendix B) which consisted of 16 questions about preferences in different situations, it allowed the researchers to classify students on each learning style according to the answers given in the different situations.

### 3.6.4 Observations

The observations (See appendix D) were made by one of the researchers during the sessions of the workshop following an observation rubric. The objective of observing the sessions was to have a qualitative evidence of students' learning process and how they worked with the material.

The observation rubric (See Appendix D) contained five items that included observations about the classroom environment, the teachers' work, the students' reaction and learning process, about the lesson itself and a last item that included extra opinions about other factors that could have affected the process.

### 3.6.5 Final Tests

The process was tested in two forms, with one written test and one listening test.

The objective of the application of these tests was to have an evidence of the progress of students in vocabulary learning, and also it allowed the researchers to find out which material was more effective when learning vocabulary.

The application of two tests was due to the fact that during the development of the sessions speaking and writing skills were the focus.

### 3.6.5.1 Final Written Test

The final written test (See appendix B) consisted of 49 questions divided into six items about the topics taught during the sessions, with a higher level of difficulty in the questions than the one presented in the diagnostic test, it had a total of 66 words to be recognized. The six items consisted of the recognition and application of the words that were taught during the session of the workshop. The vocabulary was mixed and distributed in the six items.

In the first item students had to write the word requested, according to the pictures shown. The difficulty in this item was that in this evaluation there was not a box with the words to answer as in the diagnostic test.

The second item consisted of the classification of words, where students should recognize the word and the group where each word belongs.

The third item consisted of discrimination. Students identified the group of words and recognized which of the words did not belong to the group. This item had a higher level of difficulty because the groups of words shown corresponded to the same category, for example: park, river, museum, sea. In this case the only word that corresponds to a place that is not an outdoor place is "museum".

The fourth item contained only one topic from the eight that were taught during the sessions. Students had to apply the vocabulary according to the relation between the characters shown on the picture (family tree). The difficulty of this item was that the words were not given and they had to recognize the relation, and to complete the sentence according to that information. Unlike the diagnostic test where students already met the words given and they only had to recognize the member of the family and not the relationship between them.

On item five the students should relate the English word with its meaning in Spanish.

The last item consists of the recognition of the words on a picture. Students had to color pictures according to the selected words they were told to color.

### 3.6.5.2 Final Listening Test

The objective of this test was to check if students could recognize the vocabulary that they listened to, in a suitable audio test. The listening test (See Appendix B) consisted of eight items, each one from a different topic taught on the sessions of the workshop.

Students were able to observe pictures of all the words of the eight topics, but they had to recognize and answer according to the words that appeared on the recording with different dialogues.

At the beginning of the test an information box was presented about how students had to answer the test. The test was composed of a total score that included only the words that students had to recognize that are not all the words shown in the test $(82$ words), and it had a total score of 50 points.

CHAPER IV: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

### 4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the results of the research will be described in order to explain specifically what was gotten from the different instruments utilized.

The charts described in this chapter reflect the data collected during the workshop made from October to November in Colegio Técnico Las Nieves directed to students between thirteen and fourteen years of age.

According to the information obtained by the instruments utilized, it can be stated that the objectives were completely accomplished.

The data obtained were analyzed in a comparative manner. From a total of 24 students that took the diagnostic test, from those only 9 students constantly assisted to the workshop and that also took the final tests.

The objective of the above mentioned is to make a reliable comparison on the research in order to accomplish the aim that is to find out which material is more effective.

### 4.2 Analysis of General Results

## Chart 1

The following chart shows the results of the three tests made during the research, where the horizontal axis displays the number of subjects studied, and the vertical axis displays the score of each of the tests. Subjects from 1 to 6 correspond to experimental group, and subjects from 7 to 9 correspond to control group.

Chart 1: General Results.


The chart shows an increase comparing the results of both groups between the diagnostic and final tests.

With the results of the three tests considering the nine subjects from the experimental and control group, it can be obtained a mode, median and an average.

In the diagnostic test the mode consisted of 15 points, median was 17 points and finally the average was approximately of 18,4 points.

According the average of the diagnostic test that was 18,4 points, there were five subjects that got a lower score and there were four subjects that got a higher score than the average.

The final written test obtained a mode of 31 and 36 , the median was 31 points and the average was 30 points. According to the average there were four subjects that got a lower score and there were five subjects that got a higher score than the average.

In the final listening test the mode consisted of 28 points approximately, median was 34 points and finally the average was approximately 32,8 points.

According the average of the final listening test was 32,8 points, there were four subjects that got a lower score and there were five subjects that got a higher score than the average.

The detail of the results of each test is going to be presented in the Table 1:

Table 1: General Results

|  | SUBJECT | DIAGNOSTIC TEST | FINAL WRITTEN TEST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FINAL } \\ & \text { LISTENING } \\ & \text { TEST } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { GROUP } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | S1 | 13 (44, 8\%) | 31 (63,2\%) | 28 (56\%) |
|  | S2 | 15 (51, 7\%) | 25 (51\%) | 28 (56\%) |
|  | S3 | 17 (58, 6\%) | 19 (38, 7\%) | 37 (74\%) |
|  | S4 | 12 (41,3\%) | 23 (46, 9\%) | 25 (50\%) |
|  | S5 | 15 (51, 7\%) | 31 (63, 2\%) | 38 (76\%) |
|  | S6 | 19 (65, 5\%) | 36 (73, 4\%) | 27 (54\%) |
| $\underset{2}{\text { GROUP }}$ | S7 | 25 (86, 2\%) | 36 (73, 4\%) | 34 (68\%) |
|  | S8 | 27 (93, 1\%) | 26 (53\%) | 39 (78\%) |
|  | S9 | 23 (79,3\%) | 43 (87, 7\%) | 40 (80\%) |
|  | TOTAL | 29 Points | 49 Points | 50 Points |

The table shows in detail the score of each student on the three tests: diagnostic, final written test and final listening test and the equivalent in percentage.

It can be observed and highlighted from the table that the following subjects obtained the highest scores in the three tests:

Subject eight obtained 27 points in the Diagnostic Test equivalent to 93 , $1 \%$ from a total of 29 points.

Subject nine obtained 43 points in the Final Written Test equivalent to $87,7 \%$ from a total of 49 points. Also subject nine obtained 40 points in the Final Listening test from a total of 50 points, equivalent to $80 \%$.

In the following are going to be highlighted the results of four subjects.

It is important to highlight subject number one because of the increasing from the diagnostic test to the final written test of $18,4 \%$. In the contrast there was a decrease from the final listening test of $7,2 \%$. According to the mentioned it can be observed that the decrease from one final test to the other was due to subject number one was classified as a visual learner by VARK questionnaire applied. (See Appendix E)

It is important to mention that the results obtained by subject three because there was a decrease from the diagnostic to the final written test of $19,9 \%$. According to the results it can be observed that the decrease was due to subject three was classified by VARK questionnaire as auditory learner.

Furthermore it is important to distinguish subject five because of the gradual increasing from the diagnostic test who obtained 15 points equivalent to $51,7 \%$ to the final listening test $(63,2 \%)$ and the final listening test $(76 \%)$. There was an increasing from the diagnostic to the final written test of $10,6 \%$. Also there was an increase from the final written test to the final listening test $12,8 \%$.

Finally it is important to highlight subject nine from the second group who obtained the highest score in the final written test and in the final listening test in comparison of the rest of the subjects.

It can be observed an increase from the final diagnostic test to the final written test of $8,4 \%$ and a decrease from the final written test to the final listening test of $7,7 \%$.

According to the results shown in the previous chart, the following ones will explain the comparison between the average of the results of group one and two and the three tests, where the horizontal axis displays the three test studied, and the vertical axis displays the score of each one of the tests.

## Chart 2: Tests results 'Group 1'



It can be observed an increase from the diagnostic test which had an average of 15, 2 approximately equivalent to $52,4 \%$ and the final written test which had an average of 27,5 equivalent to $56,1 \%$ of 12,3 points equal to $3,7 \%$.

And an increase between the diagnostic, which had an average of 15,2 equivalent to $52,4 \%$ and the final listening test which had an average of 30,5 equivalent to $61 \%$ of 15,3 points equal to $8,6 \%$.

## Chart 3: Tests results 'Group 2'



It can be observed an increase between the diagnostic test, which had an average of 25 approximately equivalent to $86,2 \%$, and the final written test which had an average of 35 equivalents to $79,4 \%$ of 15 points equal to $14,8 \%$.

And there was an increase between the diagnostic which had an average of 25 equivalent to $86,2 \%$ and the final written test which had an average of 27,7 equivalent to $55,4 \%$ of 2,7 points equal to $30,8 \%$.

According to the comparative results of the three tests, the results comparing the tests one by one and comparing group one and two, where the horizontal axis displays the groups studied, and the vertical axis displays the score of each of the tests.


It can be observed that the diagnostic test of group 1 had an average of 15,2 approximately, while the diagnostic test of group 2 had an average of 25 points.

The average of the diagnostic test considering both groups was of 18,4. It is important to highlight that the results from group 1 were lower than the average mentioned. And the average of the diagnostic test results obtained by group number 2 was higher in 6,6 points approximately.

Finally the group 2 obtained an average of 9,8 points more than group 1.

## Chart 5: Final Written Tests Results 'Groups 1 and 2'



It can be observed that the final written test of group 1 had an average of 27,5 approximately, while in the final written test the group 2 had an average of 35 points.

The average of the final written test considering both groups consisted of 30 points. It is important to highlight that the results from group 1 were lower than the average mentioned. And the average of the final written test results obtained by group number 2 was higher in 5 points approximately.

Finally the group 1 obtained 7,5 points less than group 2.

Chart 6: Chart 5: Final Written Tests Results 'Groups 1 and 2'


It can be observed that the final listening test of group 1 had an average of 30,5 approximately, while the final listening test of group 2 had an average of 37,6 points.

The average of the final listening test considering both groups was of 32 , 8. It is important to highlight that the results from the group 1 where lower than the results of group 2.

The group 1 obtained 2,8 points more than group 2 .

Finally it is important to mention that the average obtained by the 9 subjects was:

Diagnostic test: 18 points equivalent to $63,4 \%$.

Final written test: 30 points equivalent to $61,2 \%$.

Final listening test: 32,8 points equivalent $65,6 \%$.

As a conclusion in general terms it can be observed a decrease from the diagnostic test to the final written test but then there was a decrease according the mentioned percentage from the final written test to the final listening test.

### 4.3 Analysis per Subject

Then it will take place an analysis per subject taking into consideration six subjects from the experimental group and three subjects from the control group and a comparison between the ideal and real vocabulary that should be recognized in each test.

The ideal vocabulary recognition in the following tests is:

- The Diagnostic Test presents an average of 5 or 6 words for the eight corresponding sessions with a total of 45 words.
- In the Final Written Test there were from 8 to 10 words for the eight corresponding sessions with a total of 66 words.
- Finally, in the Final Listening Test the students should have recognized 82 words, which correspond to all the vocabulary seen in the eight sessions.


## Subject 1

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 1 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 2: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 1'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 12 | 45 |
| Final Written | 41 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 26 | 82 |

The results of Table 2 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 7 below.

## Chart 7: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 1'



According to the results, it can be observed that the subject one was not able to recognize more than a half of the total words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, subject number one recognized $26,6 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject one was able to recognize 41 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal vocabulary recognition was 25 words. From a total of 66 words, the subject recognized 62, $1 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words and the subject
was able to recognize 26 words. From a total of 82 words, the subject number one recognized 31, $7 \%$.

## Subject 2

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 2 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 3: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 2

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 16 | 45 |
| Final Written | 32 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 27 | 82 |

The results of Table 3 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 8 below.

Chart 8: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 2'


According to the results, it can be observed that the subject two was not able to recognize more than a half of the total words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject recognized $35,5 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject two was able to recognize almost the half of the total vocabulary, equivalent to 32 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 34 words. From a total of 66 words, the subject recognized $48,4 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words and the subject was able to recognize 27 words. From a total of 82 words, the subject number two recognized $32,9 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 3

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 3 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 4: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 3'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 26 | 45 |
| Final Written | 25 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 60 | 82 |

The results of Table 4 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 9 below.

Chart 9: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 3'


The subject three was able to recognize 26 words and was not able to recognize 19 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject three recognized $57,7 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, student three was able to recognize 25 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 41 words. From a total of 66 words, the subject recognized $37,8 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was an increase in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words and the subject was able to recognize 60 words. From a total of 82 words, the subject number three recognized $73,1 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 4

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 4 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 5: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 4'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 15 | 45 |
| Final Written | 32 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 47 | 82 |

The results of Table 5 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 10 below.

## Chart 10: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 4'



According to the results, it can be observed that the subject four was able to recognize 15 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject three recognized $33,3 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject four was able to recognize almost the half of the total vocabulary, equivalent to 32 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 34 words. From a total of 66 words, the student recognized 48,4\% of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was
able to recognize more than a half of all the vocabulary equivalent to 47 words and was not able to recognize 35 words. From a total of 82 words, the subject number four recognized 57, 3\% of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 5

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 5 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 6: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 5'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 21 | 45 |
| Final Written | 45 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 61 | 82 |

The results of Table 6 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 11 below.

## Chart 11: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 5'



According to the results, it can be observed that the subject five was able to recognize 21 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject three recognized $46,6 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, the subject five was able to recognize more than a half of the total vocabulary, equivalent to 45 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 21 words. From a total of 66 words, the subject recognized $68,1 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in the amount of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was able to recognize more than a half of the ideal vocabulary equal to 61 words. From a total of 82 words, the student number five recognized $74,3 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 6

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 6 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 7: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 6'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 21 | 45 |
| Final Written | 48 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 32 | 82 |

The results of Table 7 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 12 below.

## Chart 12: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 6'



According to the results, it can be observed that the subject six was able to recognize 21 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject number three recognized $46,6 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject number six was able to recognize 48 words, more than the half of the total vocabulary, and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 18 words. From a total of 66 words, the subject recognized $72,7 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was
able to recognize 36 words and was not able to recognize 46 words, less than a half of all the vocabulary. From a total of 82 words, the subject six recognized a $39 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 7

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 7 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 8: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 7'

| Test | Real recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 30 | 45 |
| Final Written | 45 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 36 | 82 |

The results of Table 8 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 13 below.

## Chart 13: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 7’



According to the results, it can be observed that the subject seven was not able to recognize 15 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject recognized $66,6 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject seven was able to recognize more than a half of the total vocabulary, equivalent to 45 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 21 words. From a total of 66 words, the student recognized $68,1 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was
able to recognize 36 words and was not able to recognize 46 words, more than a half of all the vocabulary. From a total of 82 words, the student number seven recognized $43,9 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 8

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 8 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 9: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 8'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 37 | 45 |
| Final Written | 40 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 58 | 82 |

The results of Table 9 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 14 below.

Chart 14: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 8'


According to the results, it can be observed that the subject eight was able to recognize 37 words, it is important to highlight that subject number eight is the one that recognized the highest number of words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject recognized $82,2 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, subject eight was able to recognize 40 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 26 words. From a total of 66 words, the student recognized $60,6 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was a decrease in quantity of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was able to recognize 58 words and was not able to recognize 24 words remaining. From a total of 82 words, the student number eight recognized $70,7 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

## Subject 9

The following table shows the results obtained by subject 9 of the amount of recognized vocabulary on the three tests:

Table 10: Comparison of the vocabulary recognition in Diagnostic, Final Written and Final Listening Test 'Subject 9'

| Test | Real <br> recognition | Ideal <br> recognition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diagnostic | 28 | 45 |
| Final Written | 58 | 66 |
| Final <br> Listening | 66 | 82 |

The results of Table 10 are going to be plotted and described in the chart 15 below.

Chart 15: Vocabulary recognition 'Subject 9'


According to the results, it can be observed that the subject nine was not able to recognize 15 words in the diagnostic test from the ideal recognition. From a total of 45 words, the subject recognized $62,2 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final written test, it is important to highlight that subject number nine is the one that recognized the highest number of words, equivalent to 58 words and it can be observed that the difference to reach the ideal recognition of vocabulary was of 8 words. From a total of 66 words, the student recognized $87,8 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

In the final listening test there was an increase in the amount of words the subject recognized from the ideal vocabulary recognition that was 82 words, the subject was able to recognize 66 words, more than a half of all the vocabulary and was not able to recognize 16 words. From a total of 82 words, the student number nine recognized $80,4 \%$ of the ideal vocabulary.

As a conclusion to the analysis per subject it can be observed that there was an increase from the diagnostic test, where they had to recognize an amount of 45 words to the final written test where they have to recognize 66 words, except for the subject number three that decreased in 2 points from one test to the other.

On the other hand it is important to mention that there was a decrease from the final written test to the final listening test, where they should have recognized 82 words. There is the possibility that the subjects were not able to recognize all the vocabulary to discriminate the words that they listened to, for this reason they could have obtained lower vocabulary recognition.

### 4.4 Observation Analysis

The following chart shows the results of the attendance during the research, which starts on September 29th, where in the first 45 minutes the researchers implemented a diagnostic test, which contained the chosen vocabulary for eight sessions. The students answered an online questionnaire named "VARK" which purpose was to classify the students into their corresponding learning style.

The eight sessions took place every Thursday from October 6th to October $27^{\text {th }}$. Each session consisted of 45 minutes and every Thursday contained two sessions from the selected topics.

In chart 16 and 17 the results obtained from the attendance of 14 students considering group 1 and 2 where the horizontal axis displays the number of subjects studied, and the vertical axis displays the number of sessions of the research. Subjects from 1 to 6 correspond to experimental group (Group 1), and subjects from 7 to 9 correspond to control group (Group 2).

## Chart 16: Attendance 'Group 1'



Chart 16 shows the attendance of group 1 , which contains subjects from 1 to 6 .

It is important to highlight that there were three subjects (S2, S4, S5) who had completed attendance and there was one subject (S3) on the average that corresponds to 12 sessions. And there were two subjects (S1, S6) below the average of sessions.

The average of attendance corresponded $85,7 \%$ approximately.

It is important to consider that the attendance from group 1 was constantly from beginning to end. All the subjects attended to Diagnostic, Final Written, Final Listening Test and "VARK" questionnaire.

## Chart 17: Attendance 'Group 2'



Chart 17 shows the attendance of group 2, which contains subjects from 7 to 14 .

The attendance of the students was constant from the Diagnostic Test and "VARK" questionnaire until the Final Written Test but in the Final Listening Test taken on November 10th, five of the subjects (S10, 11, 12, 13, 14) were absent. For this reason the research considered the results of Subjects 7, 8 and 9.

It is important to highlight that there were two subjects (S7) which had completed attendance and there were two subjects (S8, S9) up to the average that corresponds to 11,5 approximately.

The average of attendance corresponded 80,7\% approximately.

As a conclusion it is relevant to note that despite the quantity of students that attended group 1, this was lower than group 2. The subjects from group 1 were more constant and consistent during the 14 sessions, they attend to all the Tests and as observations show (See Appendix D) they demonstrated their motivation attending.

Contrastingly group 2 attended constantly, but at the end they were not involved or motivated with the sessions and also they were absent in the Final Listening Test. For this reason, the researchers believe that motivation is an important factor to consider according the attendance of every subject.

To finish is important to mention that according the results shown in the three parts of data analysis.

In the first part "Analysis of general results" it can be observed the alternative hypothesis which says "The use of developed material as well as the use of English textbooks /student's books are both beneficial when learning vocabulary in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students at Técnico Las Nieves School, during the second term of 2015" because the comparison of the results taking in consideration group one and two and the three tests, showed little differences in scores terms, from 2,8 to 9,8 points.

Then in the second part "Analysis per subject" are shown the quantity of words or vocabulary that each subject should recognize as ideal, which increase in quantity from the diagnostic test to the final listening test.

It can be observed a coherence between the score obtained per subject and the vocabulary recognition in each test.

In the last part it showed the "Observation analysis" which demonstrated that the attendance of both groups was similar, in the contrast it is important to highlight that the attendance of group one was more constant than group 2 which decreased from the diagnostic and the final written test where there were eight subjects until the final listening test where just three students attended. Also it is important to mention that the attendance of each student was similar to the students' results.

## CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS

### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter will be focused on the elaboration of the conclusions based on the confirmation of the objectives and if the hypotheses were reached, secondly all the conclusions that surround the research, and finally the final conclusions and how the research helps to further investigations.

### 5.2 Conclusions

The most important conclusions cover the objectives and the fact that one type of the materials is more effective than the other.

The focus of the research was to experiment 8 lessons by teaching with two different types of material, one group was presented with deliberately developed material and the other one with ready- made material in two 9th grade groups and at the end of this stage, to apply final tests (one oral and one written). In order to measure which of the two materials turned out to be more effective than the other one in terms of results.

The first objective was to demonstrate whether the use of classroom material, which has been deliberately developed material, is more effective for lexical learning in ninth grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves; compared to the use of readymade material, in this case, a given textbook. It is important to experiment with the
two different materials to analyze and know how the students utilized the material so it can be discovered whether they can learn with it or not.

Even though the students that took part of the experimental group increased their scores between the tests, the average was too low to state that the deliberately developed material was more effective than the ready-made material.

The other objective was to compare the effect of the use of deliberately developed material by the teacher versus the ready-made material. The comparison between both materials was made through the work in two groups (control and experimental), where one worked with deliberately developed material and the other one with readymade material. With the results (final tests and observations) could be established the effects of each material on each group when learning vocabulary.

Our third objective was centered upon analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of developed material in contrast to ready-made material. We believe that it is of vital importance to learn about the advantages and disadvantages of each of these options beforehand when you choose the material you are going to work with according to the students' context and characteristics.

The last objective presented was to develop appropriate material of eight topics for vocabulary learning. The material was developed according to the topics taught and
students' characteristics such as the level of English, learning styles and classroom environment.

The results of the research lead us to the alternative hypothesis, which was that "The use of developed material as well as the use of English textbooks /student's books are both beneficial when learning specific vocabulary in ninth grade students at Colegio Técnico Las Nieves, during the second term of 2015". Based on the results analyzed in the chapter IV where it is shown that there was a small difference between the vocabulary learning in the two groups. It cannot be establish that one material is more effective than the other one. So, we conclude that both are beneficial when learning vocabulary.

Considering the results mentioned on the previous chapter where the differences shown between the groups are almost null and that the increase of each group in the score obtained was small, that material did not influence the result. That is the reason why we cannot establish that one material is more beneficial than the other.

Our conclusions go further than the material and based on the observations we can suggest that there are other elements that take part of the learning process and that influenced the performance of the learners.

The relationship between motivation and learning is direct but we also consider that the relationship between motivation and material is important. We think that the
series of elements that take part in the learning process are the intermediate between the teacher and the learners and it cannot be conducted by itself. In this sense, material is the cause why learners motivate themselves and not a final score.

Therefore, we believe that the teacher acts as a bridge between the learners and the material and that is not a factor by itself but a conductor of knowledge and learning.

### 5.3 Final Remarks

Based on the results of the tests applied before and after the sessions, we concluded that the main factors that influenced the learning process were motivation and the affective filter in sense of the role they play in the learners based on the observations of the students and their behaviors related with the attendance, constancy and participation. These two variables affected the way students performed during the sessions and this caused that the material ended not being a determinant factor when learning vocabulary.

We believe that among the main factors to consider when learning vocabulary are all those that compound the learner. For this research, we highlight motivation that was the most influential factor on the final results.

Therefore, from our research it could be established that the material is an alternative factor that influences the motivation, which is one of the variables of the affective filter described by Krashen (Krashen, S., 1982, p. 31):

Research over the last decade has confirmed that a variety of affective variables relate to success in second language acquisition (reviewed in Krashen, 1981).
"(1) Motivation. Performers with high motivation generally do better in second language acquisition (usually, but not always, "integrative".
(2) Self-confidence. Performers with self-confidence and a good self-image tend to do better in second language acquisition.
(3) Anxiety. Low anxiety appears to be conducive to second language acquisition, whether measured as personal or classroom anxiety."

Then, we believe that significant knowledge can be reached if all the factors previously mentioned are considered as the primary aspects during the teaching/learning process.

### 5.4 Suggestions for further Research

For the following researches, we suggest to apply material on another suitable school context to prove if it really is a determinant factor, because the context where our research was done was not the optimum for making material the most important aspect.

Although, the results did not allow establishing that one material is more effective than the other, in other conditions the research can be apply teaching another contents.

There were some factors that affected the development of the intervention and the results of the research, which are the limitations of the research. Among the aspects that may have influenced the development of our research there was the schedule of the workshop. The schedule was from 3:30 to 5:00 pm on Tuesdays. Students had to attend the workshop after the regular school classes, and it meant a low attendance. We consider that the attendance was decreasing due to the lack of students' interest or motivation. This was an important factor that must be considered during an intervention. We believe that the students that constantly attended to the workshop mainly did it because its motivation.

Another aspect that we consider that would have made a difference for further research is the number of words that were taught. Teachers taught ten words per session, perhaps there were many words for a lesson of 45 minutes.

And finally it is worth to mention the length of the workshop. We had the opportunity to intervene 8 times for 45 minutes each lesson, but we strongly believe that if we have had the opportunity to intervene for a longer period of time the research would have given more positive results.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix A: Interviews

## Interview

## - Introduction

The objective of this interview is to know about the relationship between teachers and students of the school where the research is being made. Also, to identify which strategies and material these teachers utilize, in order to clarify how these two important aspects influence the learning process.

The information obtained from the interview has an academic purpose. The personal information is confidential and is going to remain anonymous.

## I. General Information

- Teacher 1
- Age: 30 years of age
- Years of experience teaching English: 8 years


## II. Questions

## 1. About their work and experience at the school

1.1 For how long have you been teaching in this school?

- I have been teaching here for one year.
1.2 How many classes of ninth grade do you teach? How many students are in each class?
- I teach to 1 group of ninth grade and there are 44 students.
1.3 Which are the aspects do you stand out of this school in academic terms?
- The aspects I stand out of this school in academic terms are the constancy of teachers for students' progress during the learning process.


## 2. Relationship with students

2.1 What is your opinion about the behavior and the personal characteristics of ninth grade students? What is your perception about the students in the English area?

- I think students of ninth grade are very complicated because they come from different schools, in different situations and with different methodologies and rules when working at the classroom. According to the English area students have a very low level of English, which means the
adaption of the contents; even some students did not have English in their primary level.
2.2 What was the most difficult issue during the development on the first semester?
- The most difficult issue during the first semester was to have students in a wide range of differences, because there were students that knew a lot of English, there were some that knew a little and some students that did not know anything. This means to be constantly leveling the students.


## 3. English level of students and the contents of the national curricula

3.1 Do you think that the English level of your students is the appropriate?

- Of course not. Students are below the level they should be.
3.2 Which negative aspects do you think have an influence on the level of English of your students?
- I think that one factor that influence is the motivation. Students do not show enthusiasm during the lessons. And also the large number of students per room, which is a factor that implies distraction, disorder and less attention during the development of the lesson.
3.3 Did you have the need to adapt the contents? Why? And which criteria did you use to choose them?
- Yes, because all students are not in the same level. I made the lesson plans for ninth grade and I consider all the characteristics that students of this school have, so I make the lesson plans in a very low level of English. The criteria that I consider when adapt the contents is the plans and programs of the MINEDUC but in its primary level.


## 4. About the Material.

4.1 Do you use the book given by MINEDUC? Why?

- I do not use the book given by MINEDUC because the level of the activities is not in the level in which students are.
4.2 What do you think is better for the learning process of students to use a book or to create your own material?
- I think that is better to use a book or use some of the activities from a book. Because it has a structure. But the book should be chosen according to the personal characteristics of students and according to the level of English they have.


## Interview

## - Introduction

The objective of this interview is to know about the relationship between teachers and students of the school where the research is being made. Also, to identify which strategies and material these teachers utilize, in order to clarify how these two important aspects influence in the learning process.

The information obtained from the interview has an academic purpose. The personal information is confidential and is going to remain anonymous.
I. General Information

- Teacher 2
- Age: 34 years of age
- Years of experience teaching English: 8 year.


## II. Questions

## 1. About their work and experience at the school

1.1 For how long have you been teaching in this school?

- I have been teaching in this school for 9 years.
1.2 How many classes of ninth grade do you teach? How many students are there in each class
- I teach 4 groups of ninth grade and each group has 45 students approximately
1.3 Which are the aspects do you stand out of this school in academic terms?
- I stand out the different activities implemented in the school that means an encouragement on the participation of students.


## 2. Relationship with students

2.1 What is your opinion about the behavior and the personal characteristics of ninth grade students? What is your perception the students in the English area?

- I think that students of ninth grade are immature because they are not used to follow rules, they are used to yell, they speak a lot during the lessons and they do not do their homework, especially in English, which is a subject where students are not so close to.

All those factors present difficulties during the development of the lesson, because students do not have a positive answer, which means little progress on the subject.
2.2 What was the most difficult issue during the development on the first semester?

- The most difficult issue for me during the first semester was that students had a very negative attitude during the English lessons. For example, if you are with 45 students in a classroom where 30 students do not participate, they talk and disrupt the lesson, and the other 15 students are trying to understand the content that I am trying to explain it is impossible to make all the class understand the contents.


## 3. English level of students and the contents of the national curricula

3.1 Do you think that the English level of your students is the appropriate?

- The level of English students have in this school is not the appropriate.
3.2 Which negative aspects do you think have influence on the level of English of your students?
- I think that one factor that influence is that students do not cooperate to the development of the lesson, they do not follow the rules; do not show respect between them.
3.3 Did you have the need to adapt the contents? Why? And which criteria did you use to choose them?
- Yes, in some way I did. Because students are not in the level they should be. I follow the lesson plan made by Antonio.


## 4. About the Material.

4.1 Do you use the book given by MINEDUC? Why?

- I do not use the book given by MINEDUC because I follow the lesson plan made by Antonio and it does not contemplate the use of the book.
4.2 What do you think is better for the learning process of students to use a book or to create your own material?
- I think that is better to use a book. To choose a book according to the level of English of the students.

Appendix B: Tests

Diagnostic Test
Name: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Score: $\qquad$ /29 points

Instrucciones Generales:

- Lee cuidadosamente toda la prueba antes de responder.
- Dispones de 45 minutos para responder la prueba.
- No está permitido el uso de diccionario.
- Mantén tu celular en silencio.

NOTA:
Estimada alumna, la siguiente prueba tiene como finalidad saber cuántas de las palabras que a continuación aparecen conoces. Por este motivo queremos dejar en claro que la prueba no lleva nota solo puntaje. Queremos, de acuerdo a esto, solicitar que respondas solo aquello que sepas sin ánimos de adivinar, pues los resultados de esta prueba servirán para ayudarlas en su proceso de aprendizaje durante el taller.

Muchas Gracias, puedes comenzar la prueba.
I. Look at the pictures and write the name for each one. Choose the words from the box below. ( $\qquad$ / 8 points)

giraffe- apple-lettuce- shoes- cat-table -jacket-house
II. Mark with an X the odd word on each group. $\qquad$ / 5 points)
1.

3.

4.

5.

III. Write the number on the column B, according to word on column A.( $\qquad$ /6 points)

| Column A | Column B |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. Chair | Inteligente |
| 2. Desk | Escritorio |
| 3. Dictionary |  |
| 4. Map | Tímido (a) |
| 5. Smart | Silla |
| 6. Shy | Mapa |

IV. Match the action with the picture.(__/4 points)


Eat Fried food
Do Exercises Get Stressed

| Have a heart <br> attack |
| :---: |

Stop smoking
V. Complete the family members on the Simpsons' family tree. Choose the correct words from the box below. ( $\qquad$ /6 points)
daughter- mother-grandmother- son-grandfather-father


# The VARK Questionnaire for Younger People How Do I Learn Best? 

VARK Questionnaire version 7.1

Choose the answer which best explains your preference and click the box next to it. Please click more than one if a single answer does not match your perception. Leave blank any question that does not apply.

You are going to make something special for your family. You would:
$\square \quad$ Find written instructions to make it.
$\square$ Make something I have made before.
$\square$ Decide from pictures in magazines.
$\square$ Talk it over with my friends.

You are about to hook up your parent's new computer. You would:
$\square$ Read the instructions that came with it.
$\square$ Unpack the box and start putting the pieces together.
$\square$ Follow the diagrams that show how it is done.
$\square$ Phone, text or email a friend and ask how to do it.

You have a problem with your knee. Would you prefer that the doctor:
$\square$ Described to you what was wrong.
$\square$ Demonstrated what was wrong using a model of a knee.
$\square$ Showed you a diagram of what was wrong.
$\square$ Gave you an article or brochure that explained knee injuries.

You are learning to take photos with your new digital camera or mobile phone. You would like to have:
$\square$ A chance to ask questions and talk about the camera's features.
$\square$ Examples of good and poor photos and how to improve them.
$\square$ Diagrams showing the camera and how to use it.
$\square$ Clear written instructions with lists and bullet points.

You want to plan a surprise party for a friend. You would:
$\square$ Make lists of what to do and what to buy for the party.
$\square$ Draw a map and make a special design for the invitation.
$\square$ Talk about it on the phone or text others.
$\square$ Invite friends and just let it happen.

I like websites that have:
$\square \quad$ Things I can click on and do.
$\square$ Audio channels for music, chat and discussion.
$\square$ Interesting information and articles in print.
$\square$ Interesting design and visual effects.

A new movie has arrived in town. What would most influence your decision to go (or not go)?
$\square \quad$ You see a preview of it.
$\square$ You read what others say about it online or in a magazine.
$\square$ Hear friends talking about it.
$\square$ It is similar to others you have liked.

You have to present your ideas to your class. You would:
$\square$ Gather examples and stories to make it real and practical.
$\square$ Make diagrams or get graphs to help explain my ideas
$\square \quad$ Write out my speech and learn it by reading it again and again.
$\square \quad$ Write a few key words and say them again and again.

A website has a video showing how to make a special graph. There is a person speaking, some lists and words describing what to do and some diagrams. You would learn most from:

Listening.
Reading the words.
Watching the actions.
Seeing the diagrams.

You want some feedback about an event, competition or test. You would like to have feedback:
$\square$ That used a written description or table of my results.
$\square$ That used examples of what I have done
$\square$ From somebody who discussed it with me.
$\square$ That used graphs showing what I achieved.

You have been selected as a tutor or a leader for a holiday program. This is interesting for your friends. You would:
$\square$ Describe the activities I will be doing in the program.
$\square$ Show them the list of activities in the program.
$\square$ Show them the map of where it will be held and diagrams about it.
$\square$ Start practising the activities I will be doing in the program.

Do you prefer a teacher who likes to use:
$\square$ Field trips, case studies, videos, labs and hands-on practical sessions.
$\square$ Class discussions, online discussion, online chat and guest speakers.
$\square$ A textbook and plenty of handouts.
$\square$ An overview diagram, charts, labelled diagrams and maps.

Remember when you learned how to play a new computer or board game. You learned best by:
$\square$ Watching others do it first.
Clues from the diagrams in the instructions.
$\square$ Listening to somebody explaining it and asking questions.
$\square$ Reading the instructions.

You need to give directions to go to a house nearby. You would:
$\square \quad$ Walk with them.
$\square$ Write down the directions as a list.
Tell them the directions.
$\square$ Draw a map on a piece of paper or get a map online.

You are about to buy a new digital camera or mobile phone. Other than price, what would most influence your decision?
$\square$ Trying it.
$\square$ It is the latest design and looks good.
The salesperson telling me about it.
$\square$ Reading the details about its features.

After reading a play you need to do a project. Would you prefer to:
$\square$ Read a speech from the play?
$\square \quad$ Write about the play?
$\square$ Act out a scene from the play?
$\square$ Draw or sketch something that happened in the play?

Final Test

Name: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Score: $\qquad$ /49 points

General Instructions:

- Read the test carefully before answering.
- You have 45 minutes to answer the test
- The use of dictionary is not allowed
- Keep your cellphone in silence
I. Look at the pictures and write the name below for each one. $\qquad$ /14 points)

II. Read the words in the box. Classify the words on the table according to the group they belong. $\qquad$ /16 points)

| Adjectives | Places | Food | Animals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

fun- bus station-carrot-lion-smart-cow-lettuce-shop -chicken-museum-busy-egg-outgoing-sea - giraffe-apple-
III. Mark with an X the odd word on each group. $\qquad$ /4 points)

IV. Choose the correct alternative to complete the sentence, according to The Simpsons' family tree. ( $\qquad$ /8 points)


1. Bart is the $\qquad$ of Lisa.
2. Abraham is the $\qquad$ of Homer.
3. Lisa and Maggie are $\qquad$ _.
4. Abraham and Mona are the $\qquad$ of Bart, Lisa and Maggie
5. The $\qquad$ of Bart, Lisa and Maggie are Homer and Marge.
6. The $\qquad$ of Lisa, Maggie and Bart is Marge.
7. Lisa is $\qquad$ of Homer and Marge.
8. Bart is the $\qquad$ of Homer and Marge.
V. Write the number on the column B, according to the word on column A. ( /10 points)

| Column A | Column B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Wastebasket | _ Computer |
| 2. House | Rio |
| 3. Interesting | _Plátano |
| 4. Computer | _ Carne |
| 5. Banana | _Casa |
| 6. Stop smoking | _Amistoso |
| 7. Meat | _Parar de fumar |
| 8. River | _ Interesante |
| 9. Friendly | _Ir al gimnasio |
| 10. Go to the gym | _Basurero |

VI. Paint the clothes of the boy mentioned on the card. $\qquad$ /5 points)


Paint only the indicated items:

- Jacket
- Trousers
- Hat
- Shoes
- Belt

Final Test (Listening)

Name: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Score: $\qquad$ / 50 points

General Instructions:

- Read carefully the test before answer.
- You have 45 minutes to answer the test.
- The use of dictionary is not allowed.
- Keep your cellphone in silence.


## IMPORTANT

In this test you will have to answer 8 items, each item have pictures of the ALL the words learned during the sessions about the eight topics. Then you will listen to eight dialogues (one for each item). You will have to put a cross ON the picture ONLY for the words you listen according to each dialogue. The beginning and the end of each dialogue will be indicated.
I. Classroom: Put a cross ( X ) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only six words from what you hear.
$\qquad$ / 6 points)

II. Places: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only six words from what you hear. $\qquad$ / 6 points)

III. People we know: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only seven words from what you hear. ( $\qquad$ / 7points)
(2)
IV. Family: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only seven words from what you hear. (__/ 7points)

V. Clothes: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only seven words from what you hear.
$\qquad$ / 7points)
(5)
VI. Animals: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only five words from what you hear.
$\qquad$ / 5 points)

VII. Healthy life: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only six words from what you hear.
$\qquad$ / 6 points)
(3)
VIII. Food: Put a cross (X) on the picture that corresponds to the words you listen in the dialogue. You have to mark only six words from what you hear. ( $\qquad$ 16 points)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 . \\ & \begin{array}{c} 80 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | 4. | $\begin{gathered} 5 . \\ v^{2} \\ \text { var } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. |

## Appendix C: Lesson Plans

## EXPERIMENTAL GROUP

## Lesson Plan PPP

| Group: 1, Experimental | Group | Date: 6th October,$2015$ |  | Time: 45 minute |  | Sequence: 1/8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |  |  |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to objects of the classroom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to apply the vocabulary with visual material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures: <br> - Speaking <br> - Writing |  |  | Lexis: <br> Objects of the classroom (boa calendar, chair computer, desk dictionary, ma wastebasket) | rd, clock , table, | Functio <br> Recog <br> vocabu <br> the les |  |  |  |
| Stages | Interaction |  |  |  |  |  | IT- Ma Timing | aterials- <br> g |
| Warm-up | - Teachers give instructions to students play a game <br> - Students start playing "Last man standing" game <br> - The game begins by throwing the ball at a student. That student will say a word related to the theme and throw the ball to another student. As each person catches the ball, they need to come up with another word that fits the theme. If they repeat a word that has already been said or can't think of a new one within a few seconds, they are out and must sit on the sidelines. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 min . <br> A ball. |
| Presentation | - Teacher points out objects of the classroom (according to the vocabulary of the lesson). <br> - Teacher asks students to guess the word. <br> - Teachers give clues of the words students don't know to make them guess. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 min. <br> Whiteboard <br> Markers. |


| Practice | - Students label the objects of the classroom with the correct word. | - 5 min. <br> - Labels. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | - Students pick three words randomly of the vocabulary <br> - Students make a drawing of the words they picked. <br> - Teachers give students a worksheet. Students complete the worksheet labeling the objects. | - 10 min . <br> - Pencils. <br> - Paper. <br> - Worksheets |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask students how many words of the vocabulary they remember. | - 10 min . <br> - Whiteboard <br> - Pencils. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 6th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: $2 / 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to places in town. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Speakin |  | Lexis: <br> Places in town (airpo house, market, muse shop). | rt, bus station, hotel, um, park, river, sea, | Function <br> - Recognizing vocabulary of the lesson related to the places around town |
| Stages | Inter | ction |  | IT- MaterialsTiming |
| Warm-up |  | Teachers give instru game. Students start | ion of "Simon says" play the game. | - 5 min . |
| Presentation |  | Teachers show the v drawings. | cabulary with | - 10 min . <br> - Drawings. <br> - Data show. <br> - Notebook. |
| Practice |  | Teachers divide the c stations; each station which has at least two Students walk around station by station disc place represent each | assroom in ten epresents a place objects. <br> the classroom and vering how each ation. | - 15 min . <br> - Objects representing the place on the station. |
| Production |  | Students create (draw city including the pla Then they label the pl city. <br> Teachers give student vocabulary of the les Students complete the write the place's nam | and paint) their ideal es of the vocabulary. aces on their ideal <br> a worksheet with the on. <br> worksheet. They in each picture. | - 10 min . <br> - Paper. <br> - Pencil. <br> - Worksheets. |
| Wrap-up |  | Teachers give instruc the game "The hang 1 | ions to students play men" | - 5 minutes <br> - Whiteboard |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 13th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 3/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to personality to describe people. |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to describe people personality. |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Writing <br> - Listenin |  | Lexis <br> - Adjecti persona interest shy, sm | describe people busy, friendly, fun, azy, outgoing, quiet, | Function <br> - Identifying adjectives to describe people personality <br> - Applying the use of adjectives in context. |
| Stages | Inter | ction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up |  | Bingo of classro Teachers say the instructions for Students start pl | and places. and gives me. | - 10 min . <br> - Bingo <br> - Small paper balls. |
| Presentation |  | Teacher writes vocabulary of th Students repeat | whiteboard the on. <br> cabulary at loud. | - 10 min . <br> - Whiteboard <br> - Markers |
| Practice |  | Teacher shows vocabulary of the guess which mo meaning. | related to the on and students the closest to its | - 10 min <br> - Emojis |
| Production |  | Teachers give st the vocabulary Students comple write the mood' | s a worksheet with lesson. <br> worksheet. They e in each picture. | - 10 min . <br> - Worksheets. |
| Wrap-up |  | Students comple | word search. | - 10 min . <br> - Word search. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP



| Practice | - Teachers show flashcards of three famous families with their members to the students. <br> - The students have to re-organize the families as a family tree on the whiteboard and name each one. Example: "Homer is the father". | - 10 min . <br> - Flashcards. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | - Teachers give a worksheet where students label with the family member's name. | - 10 min . <br> - Worksheet. |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask students how many words from the lesson they remember. | - 5 min . <br> - Flashcards. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 20th <br> October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 5/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to clothes. |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to differentiate plural words and accessories of clothing. |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Writing <br> - Speakin |  | Lexis <br> - Clothes gloves, h shorts, ti | cessories (glasses, ts, scarf, shoes, -shirt, trousers). | Function <br> - Identifying vocabulary related to clothes <br> - Describing outfits. |
| Stages | Inter | action |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up |  | Teachers explain play the "Memo Teachers divide show flashcards lesson, after that students start pla more pairs wins | give instructions to game. <br> lass in groups, then the vocabulary of the the flashcards and The group with | - 10 min . <br> - Memorize flashcards. |
| Presentation |  | Teachers shows lesson with a fa teacher parades describes the cl | vocabulary of the show, where a he other one | - 10 min . |
| Practice |  | Students describ appear on magaz | ple's clothes that | - 5 min . <br> - Magazines. |
| Production |  | Students take a information on the up pictures of pe Teachers give st the vocabulary of | and according to the rd they have to dress <br> ts a worksheet with lesson. | - 10 min . <br> - "Figures" to dress up. <br> - Clothes for the "figures". <br> - Worksheets. |
| Wrap-up |  | Students describ using the vocabu | ir "figures" outfit of the lesson. | - 5 min . <br> - Flashcards. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 20th <br> October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 6/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary animals. |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to differentiate groups of animals. |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking |  | Lexis <br> - Farm <br> - Wild/Z giraffe, <br> - Pets (c | s (chicken, cow) imals (elephant, , lion, monkey) gs, fish) | Function <br> - Recognizing groups of animals |
| Stages | Inter | ction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up |  | Pictionary: One student has class and draw s whiteboard, the the meaning of $t$ | me in front of the hing in the f the class guess wing. | - 5 min . <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Presentation |  | Students guess t sound that identif Teachers write on vocabulary of th | mal by hearing the hem. whiteboard the on. | - 10 min . <br> - Recording. <br> - Speakers. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice |  | Students look on They identify th describe. | picture animals mal which teachers | - 10 min . <br> - Picture with animals. |
| Production |  | Students do a pi Teachers give st the vocabulary of | crossword. <br> s a worksheet with lesson. | - 5 min . <br> - Crosswords. <br> - Worksheets. |
| Wrap-up |  | Teachers ask stu animals from the remember, so th they have learne | how many abulary they can n see how much ing the lesson. | - 5 min . |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 27th <br> October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 7/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to healthy life. |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to use recognize healthy and unhealthy actions |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedur <br> - Speaki |  | Lexis: <br> Expressions rela exercises, Stop s stressed, get fit, attack, eat fried f high/low in fat). | healthy life (Do g, lose weight, get he gym, have a heart drink alcohol, | Function <br> - Identifying vocabulary related to healthy life |
| Stages | Inter | ction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up |  | Game: Mimic The teacher gives animals) to stude in front of the cla guess. | rd (related to ey mimic the word d the classmates | - 5 min . |
| Presentation |  | Teachers show fla unhealthy actions <br> Students recogniz lesson. <br> Teachers show di in healthy and un | ards with healthy and vocabulary of the <br> pictures with hearts y activities. | - 15 min . <br> - Flashcards. |
| Practice |  | Students classify unhealthy activity. | earts in healthy or | - 5 min . <br> - Flashcards. |
| Production |  | Teachers give stu vocabulary of the | a worksheet with the n. | - 5 min . <br> - Worksheets. |
| Wrap-up |  | Students play the the class talks ab life. | "just dance", and meaning of healthy | - 10 min . <br> - Flashcards. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 1, <br> Experimental Group |  | Date: 27th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: $8 / 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Verónica Díaz <br> - Karla González |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply food vocabulary |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able classify different kind of food |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Speakin <br> - Writing |  | Lexis Food (apple, ba meat, milk, lettu | carrot, cheese, egg, nion, potato). | Function <br> - Recognizing food vocabulary <br> - Speaking about food preferences |
| Stages | Interaction |  |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Game :Tasting the object <br> - A teacher brings food and asks the students to make a circle. <br> - Then one student closes her eyes and tastes the food to guess. <br> - Teachers give clues when it cannot be recognized. |  |  | - 5 min . <br> - Food (vocabulary). |
| Presentation | - Teachers asks for the name in English of the food that was used on the warm up. |  |  | - 10 min . <br> - Labels. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Students classify the food they like or dislike. |  |  | - 5 min . <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Production | - Teachers give students a worksheet with the vocabulary of the lesson. <br> - Students complete the worksheet labeling the food with its name. |  |  | - 10 min . <br> - Worksheet. |
| Wrap-up | - Students play "food dominoes" |  |  | - 5 min . <br> - Dominoes. |

## CONTROL GROUP

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, Control Group |  | Date: 6th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 1/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to objects of the classroom. |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to apply the vocabulary in context. |  |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedu <br> - Listen <br> - Speak |  | Lexis Objects of the cla chair, clock comp map, table, waste | m (board, calendar, desk, dictionary, t) | Function <br> - Identifying classroom vocabulary |
| Stages | Inte | action |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up |  | Teachers give ins a game Students start play game The game begins student. That stud related to the them another student. A ball, they need to word that fits the word that has alre think of a new on they are out and m | ons to students play <br> Last man standing" <br> rowing the ball at a ill say a word throw the ball to $h$ person catches the up with another . If they repeat a een said or can't in a few seconds, it on the sidelines. | - 5 min . <br> - A ball. |
| Presentation |  | Students listen a list of words that lesson. (Activity Teachers ask for classroom, related lesson. | ing twice with the e use during the <br> that are in the e vocabulary of the | - 15 min . <br> - Speakers <br> - Booklet <br> - Data show <br> - Markers <br> - Whiteboard |


| Practice | - Students look around the things that are in the classroom and complete the activity with the vocabulary of the lesson (Activity 1b | - 10 min <br> - Booklet <br> - Markers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | - Students ask to their classmates questions such as "What is on the wall?" ( Activity 1c) | - 5 minutes <br> - Booklet |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask questions about the classroom image shown at the beginning of the lesson. <br> - Students have to use the vocabulary and prepositions to answer the questions. | - 5 minutes |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, <br> Control Group | Date: 6th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 2/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> Carla Bravo Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to places in town. |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to differentiate when to use "a" or "an". |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedure <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking |  Lexis  <br> $\bullet$ $\bullet$ Places in to <br>   station, ho <br> museum, p | n (airport, bus , house, market, k, river, sea, shop). | Function <br> - Recognizing vocabulary of the lesson related to the places around town |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Teachers give instru game <br> - Students start to play | ion of "Simon says" <br> he game | - 5 min . |
| Presentation | - Students tick the wo the vocabulary on th 1) <br> - Teachers explain vocabulary students | s that they know of booklet ( Activity <br> words from the not know. | - 10 min . <br> - Booklet <br> - Data show <br> - Markers <br> - Whiteboard |
| Practice | - Students match the pictures on the book page 4) | ords with the (Activity V5.1 on | - 15 min <br> - Booklet <br> - Markers |
| Production | - Students fill the gap words (Activity V5 | with the correct on page 4). | - 5 min . <br> - Booklet |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask studen they remember. | how many words | - 5 minutes |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, Control Group | Date: 13th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 3/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to personality to describe people. |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to describe people personality. |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Writing <br> - Listening | Lexis  <br> $\bullet$ Adjectives to <br> personality <br> interesting, <br> shy, smart). | describe people busy, friendly, fun, zy, outgoing, quiet, | Function <br> - Identifying adjectives to describe people personality |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Bingo of classroom <br> - Teachers present the instructions of the ga students start to play. | d places. ules and e, and then | - 10 min . <br> - Bingo. <br> - Small paper balls. |
| Presentation | - Students listen a reco words of the vocabul (Activity 1a) <br> - Students listen a seco conversation with oth context (Activity 2a <br> - Students say the teac adjectives they recog recording | ding with the ry of the lesson ad recording with a r adjectives in er which new ize from the second | - 10 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Students complete th some people they know. page 5) | chart describing w. (Activity 1b on | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Markers. |
| Production | - Students complete a vocabulary (adjectives) (Activity 2b) | ialogue using the ) and verb to be. | - 5 minutes <br> - Booklet <br> - Markers |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask some st the personality of the the vocabulary of the | dents to describe classmates using esson. | - 5 min . |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, <br> Control Group | Date: 13th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: $4 / 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply family member's vocabulary. |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to identify the relatives on a family group. |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Reading <br> - Writing |  Lexis  <br> $\bullet$ Family membe  <br>   daughter, fathe <br> grandmother, <br> mother, parent | (brother, r, grandfather, grandparents, s, sister, son). | Function <br> - Recognizing the family members |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Teachers give instruc the game "Charades" <br> - Teachers write down piece of papers for stu Then teachers divide teams and each team person to choose a pi out the word. The tea correct word, for each team receives a point 5 points first is the wi | ons and explain <br> words on some dents to choose. he class into two hould have one ce of paper and act ms must guess the correct word, that The team that hits ning team. | - 5 min . <br> - Piece of papers. |
| Presentation | - Students look a pictur <br> - Teachers ask students members. Then stude tree. | of a family tree about the family ts label the family | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Students look the fam and with that informa sentences of activity | ly tree on page 8 ion complete the .1 on page 9 . | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data Show. <br> - Markers. |


| Production | - Students complete the sentences about The Sorokins' relatives. (Activity 1.2). | - 5 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers explain and give instructions to play the "Memorize" game. <br> - Teachers divide the class in two groups, then show flashcards with the vocabulary of the lesson, after that turn the flashcards and students start playing, the group with more pairs wins. | - 5 min . <br> - Flashcards. |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, <br> Control Group | Date: 20th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 5/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to clothes. |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to differentiate plural words and accessories of clothing. |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Reading <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking | Lexis  <br> • Clothes and <br> gloves, hat, <br> shorts, tight | accessories (glasses, pants, scarf, shoes, T-shirt, trousers). | Function <br> - Identifying vocabulary related to clothes <br> - Describing outfits |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Teachers explain and play the "Memorize" <br> - Teachers divide the show flashcards with the lesson, after that and students start pla more pairs wins. | give instructions to game. <br> lass in groups, then the vocabulary of urn the flashcards ing. The group with | - 5 min . <br> - Memorize. |
| Presentation | - Students look picture of clothes and recogniz <br> - Teachers makes stud unknown words | of the vocabulary ize the vocabulary. nts guess the | - 10 min . <br> - Booklet <br> - Data show <br> - Markers <br> - Whiteboard |
| Practice | - Students complete se vocabulary previously 4.1) <br> - Students match the it the part of the body | tences with the showed (Activity <br> m of clothing with Activity 4.2) | - 15 min <br> - Booklet <br> - Data Show |
| Production | - Students label a pictu vocabulary of the les | re with the on | - 10 minutes <br> - Booklet <br> - Data show |
| Wrap-up | - Students describe the using the vocabulary | ir teachers outfit | - 5 minutes <br> - Flashcards |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, Control Group | Date: 20th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 6/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary animals |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to differentiate groups of animals |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking |  Lexis  <br>  $\bullet$ Farm animal <br>  $\bullet$ Wild/Zoo an <br>   giraffe, lion, <br>  $\bullet$ Pets (cat, do | (chicken, cow) mals (elephant, monkey, tiger) , fish) | Function <br> - Recognizing animals |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Pictionary: <br> - One student has to co class and draw some whiteboard, the rest meaning of the drawi | me in front of the hing in the the class guess the g. | - 5 min . |
| Presentation | - Students look picture groups of animals, an they know. <br> - Teachers makes stud meaning of unknown | with different mark the ones <br> nts guess the words | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Students complete vocabulary of anim | tences with the (Activity 29.3) | - 10 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data Show. <br> - Markers. |
| Production | - Students look the pic a crossword with the 29.3) | ures and complete animals (Activity | - 5 minutes. <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. |
| Wrap-up | - Teachers ask student from the vocabulary so they can see how | how many animals hey can remember, much they learned. | - 5 minutes <br> - Flashcards |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, <br> Control Group | Date: 27th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 7/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply vocabulary related to healthy life. |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able to recognize healthy and unhealthy actions. |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking <br> - Reading | g: Lexis <br> Expressions related to <br> exercises, Stop smoki <br> stressed, get fit, go to <br> heart attack, eat fried <br> high/low in fat) | healthy life (Do g, lose weight, get he gym, have a ood, drink alcohol, | Function <br> - Identifying vocabulary related to healthy life |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Game: Mimic <br> - The teacher gives a sports) to four studen word in front of the classmates guess the word. | ord (related to s, they mimic the ass and the meaning of the | - 5 min . |
| Presentation | - Students look the voc booklet and tick the p <br> - Teachers make stude phrases they do not $k$ <br> - Students match the p (activity V10.1 page <br> - Students say which of consider healthy and | abulary on the hrases they know. ts guess the now rases to the picture 4) the phrases they which unhealthy. | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Before the reading acti explain some vocabu could read. <br> - Students read the tex healthy heart" (page <br> - Students underline fo bad things for a healthy | ivity, teachers ary for students <br> "Top tips for a 3) <br> ur good and four y heart. | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. |


| Production | $\bullet$Students work in pairs. Students ask their <br> classmate how often they do the things <br> that appear on activity 3 a page13 | $\bullet$ <br> $\bullet$ <br> $\bullet$ | Booklet. <br> $\bullet$ | Data show <br> Markers |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrap-up | - |  |  |  |

## LESSON PLANNING PPP

| Group: 2, Control Group | Date: 27th October, 2015 | Time: 45 minutes | Sequence: 8/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE SERVICE TEACHER: <br> - Carla Bravo <br> - Dyan Martínez |  | SCHOOL: Colegio Técnico Las Nieves |  |
| Main Objective: Students will be able to recognize and apply food vocabulary |  |  |  |
| Subsidiary aims: Students will be able recognize different kind of food |  |  |  |
| Contents |  |  |  |
| Skills/procedures <br> - Writing <br> - Speaking <br> - Reading <br> - Listening | s: Lexis  <br> $\bullet$ $\bullet$ <br> Food (apple  <br> cheese, egg,  <br> onion, potat  | banana, carrot, meat, milk, lettuce, ). | Function <br> - Recognizing food vocabulary <br> - Speaking about food preferences. |
| Stages | Interaction |  | IT- Materials-Timing |
| Warm-up | - Game :Feeling the <br> - The teacher brings students to make a smell and touch the guess the name of | ject <br> its and asks the cle, then students uit and finally object. | - 5 min . |
| Presentation | - Students listen a reco <br> - Students recognize f the recording. <br> - Teachers explain the <br> - Students indicate orally like, and which they | ding (activity 1a) od vocabulary from <br> unknown words ly which food they don't like | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. <br> - Markers. <br> - Whiteboard. |
| Practice | - Teachers give instruction activity. <br> - Students listen a seco they have to recogniz mentioned (Activity <br> - Students classify the the recording in plur (Activity 1b) | ions for the second <br> d recording, where what food are <br> a) <br> ood mentioned on s and singular. | - 15 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data Show. <br> - Markers. |
| Production | - Students complete w and which they don' | th the food they like like(activity 1) | - 5 min . <br> - Booklet. <br> - Data show. |
| Wrap-up | - Students play with food | dominoes | - 5 min . |

## Appendix D: Observation Rubric and Observation Reports

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha |  |
| Grupo |  |
| Tópico |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas |  |

I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? |  |  |
| $2 . \quad$ ¿Está el lugar limpio? |  |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? |  |  |
| $4 . \quad$ ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? |  |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algán tipo de distractor? |  |  |

Comentarios: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? |  |  |
| $2 . \quad$ ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? |  |  |
| $3 . \quad$ ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? |  |  |
| $4 . \quad$ ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? |  |  |
| $5 . \quad$ ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? |  |  |

Comentarios: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? |  |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? |  |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? |  |  |
| 4. $\quad$ ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? |  |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el <br> aprendizaje?  |  |  |

Comentarios:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?
2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?
5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?
6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?
7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
V. Observaciones Adicionales:

- Observations Report

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $06-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 2 |
| Tópico | Classroom |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 12 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? |  | x |

Comentarios: El lugar tiene el espacio suficiente para 12 estudiantes, es apropiado y bastante iluminado

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Al ser dos profesoras, las estudiantes pueden aclarar sus dudas con mayor facilidad y eficiencia.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio |  |  |
| para el aprendizaje? |  |  | x |  |
| :--- |

Comentarios: Las estudiantes se muestran con disposición para aprender. Expresan estar muy emocionadas por el reforzamiento; es la primera vez que tienen uno.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

- Las profesoras hacen una introducción del tema utilizando recursos de la misma sala de clases.

2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

- Sí, las profesoras inician la clase luego del "warm up" hablando sobre objetos dentro de una sala de clases, se guían por el texto de estudio entregado el cual contenía actividades relacionadas con las 10 palabras de a unidad.

3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

- Se inicia la clase con una actividad presentando el vocabulario, luego las profesoras presentan en vocabulario en contexto utilizando el libro entregado y experiencias de las estudiantes, para continuar con algunas actividades relacionadas al tema y vocabulario presentado.

4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

- Sí, durante todo el tiempo en la clase.

5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

- Sí, mediante actividades durante toda la clase y sobre todo al finalizarla con un reforzamiento de cada una de las palabras del vocabulario.

6. ¿Con qué actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

- Con las actividades que contenía el libro.

7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

- Esta finaliza cuando las profesoras hacen un pequeño reforzamiento de cada una de las palabras y luego se preguntan cuantas palabras son capaces de recordar lo cual las estudiantes responden


## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in rows, it was clean, it had enough ventilation and the illumination was appropriate. The teachers showed security and content management was evidenced. They focused their attention to every student and they answered every doubt. The coordination is appropriate when talking. They made students participate during the lesson. The group worked with a booklet.

Students demonstrated attention in class' activities and most of them tried to participate when teachers asked for some answers. The students were a little bit confused with the content because this was the first class of the workshop but they tried to participate by guessing or trying to translate some words.

The class did not end in the time that the teachers expected because students were a little bit talkative but the teachers used this in their favor and encouraged them to talk about classroom vocabulary.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $06-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 1 |
| Tópico | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 12 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas |  |

## I. Sala

| ( | NO | SI |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \quad$ ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| $2 . \quad$ ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| $3 . \quad$ ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| $4 . \quad$ ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| $5 . \quad$ ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? |  |  |

Comentarios: La sala esta ordenada en semi-circulo y el único distractor era conversación entre algunas estudiantes.

## II. Profesoras

|  |  | SI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \quad$ ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| $2 . \quad$ ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| $3 . \quad$ ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| $4 . \quad$ ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| $5 . \quad$ ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? |  |  |

Comentarios: Todo el tiempo las docentes hacen participes a las estudiantes.

## III. Estudiantes

|  |  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \quad$ ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |  |
| $2 . \quad$ ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |  |
| $3 . \quad$ ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el aprendizaje? |  | x |  |

Comentarios: Durante la clase hay una estudiante con comportamiento no adecuado para el desarrollo de esta, haciendo constantes interrupciones. A pesar de que las compañeras buscan de cualquier forma prestar atención, se hace casi imposible y se genera una situación tensa.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

- Sí. Las profesoras, luego del warm up, presentan a las estudiantes el vocabulario que se trabajará durante la sesión.

2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

- Durante la clase se sigue el tópico y su correspondiente vocabulario a través de actividades.

3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

- Luego de la actividad de inicio, las profesoras presentan el vocabulario apoyadas de imágenes (cada una de un lugar). Después se apoyaron en actividades que ayudaban a reforzar el vocabulario enseñado.

4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

- Sí, durante la clase se trabajan y refuerzan las diez palabras del vocabulario correspondiente a "places".

5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

- Se refuerza a través de las actividades que se desarrollan luego de la presentación y con la entrega de una guía con las imágenes del vocabulario de la sesión.

6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

- Se evalúa con actividades de reconocimiento.

7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

- El cierre de la clase consiste en el juego "el ahorcado", donde las palabras a identificar corresponden al vocabulario de las sesiones uno y dos.


## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in a semi-circle near the whiteboard, the teachers were in the middle of the semi-circle and at the back of the classroom there were some stands with typical objects and pictures from some places. The group worked with the developed material created by the teachers about places.

The class was a bit noisy, students were very talkative but teachers tried to focus student's attention to the main objective of the class, places. They demonstrated knowledge about the content and about class management. The main distraction was a situation between two girls but teachers were able to go on with the class.

The students participated in all activities the teachers prepared for them and most of them expressed verbally that they were not accustomed to work with concrete material. The teachers tried to use students' experiences in those places to create a comfortable context in the classroom.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $13-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 2 |
| Tópico | People we know |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 3 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 8 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? | x |  |

Comentarios: La sala esta ordenada en filas, el lugar es apropiado para el aprendizaje y el único distractor es la conversación de las niñas, aunque es relacionada a las personalidades de ellas se genera distracción.
II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las profesoras se muestran preocupadas por revisar dudas y actividades.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? |  |  |

Comentarios: A pesar del respeto verbal hacia sus compañeras y docentes, la conversación entre ellas es una constante que dificulta el proceso de enseñanza-aprendizaje.

## VI. Sesión (describir)

8. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

Si, las profesoras empiezan la clase con una actividad auditiva siendo la idea principal presentar el contenido de una forma llamativa.
9. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

Las profesoras siguen el tema a tratar durante toda la clase mediante distintas actividades.
10. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

Se inicia con una actividad auditiva, luego actividades donde se especifica y refuerza más el vocabulario tratado.
11. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

Si durante toda la clase a través de diferentes actividades.
12. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

Si , durante cada actividad.
13. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

Con actividades del libro que involucran escritura, lectura y audición.
14. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

Las estudiantes describen las personalidades de sus compañeras. Son capaces de reconocer las personalidades de cada una.

## IV. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in rows, it was clean, there was enough ventilation and the illumination was appropriate. The teachers showed security and that they knew the content. They focused their attention to every student and their doubts; they were coordinate to talk and made the students participate in class. The group worked with the booklet.

The class was a bit noisy but students were talking about their own personalities. Teachers guided the class in order to follow with the content, they asked for some students to read some parts of the text in the booklet.

Students got very excited and noisy when they did the activities prepared to them but they were able to recognize personalities about some people.

At the end of the class the class did not finish on time but the whole content about vocabulary was taught.

## Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $13-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 1 |
| Tópico | Family |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 7 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? | x |  |

Comentarios: La sala está en condiciones apropiadas. Las estudiantes están sentadas en semicírculos.

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las profesoras se pasean por la sala respondiendo las dudas de las alumnas y las hacen participes en cada actividad.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? |  |  | $\mathrm{x} \frac{}{}$

Comentarios: Las alumnas muestran interés por lo que están aprendiendo pero se muestra una baja considerable en la asistencia.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

- Si, a través de un video se mostraban integrantes de la familia así el contenido quedó más cercano.

2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

- Sí, durante toda la clase.

3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

- Mediante diferentes actividades durante toda la clase, las cuales implicaban la aplicación del vocabulario planteado al inicio de la sesión.

4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

- Toda la clase se trabaja con las palabras del vocabulario planteado.

5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

- Antes, durante y después de cada actividad.

6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

- Las profesoras le piden a las estudiantes que dibujen a los integrantes de su familia y mencionen el vocabulario que corresponde según la persona. Además se les entrega una guía donde ellas deben escribir la palabra correspondiente a la imagen.

7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

- Mediante un conteo de palabras según lo que los estudiantes recuerdan.


## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in a semi-circle near the whiteboard, the teachers were in the middle of the semi-circle and at the back of the classroom there were the rest of the tables and chairs.

The class was not complete and most of the students said that it was because the others got tired and went home. Students participated in all the activities and teachers tried to use student's experiences to talk about their family members.

Teachers showed a video about family members and introduced the content in order to make it more didactic. Teachers motivate them to talk and memorize some words about the vocabulary.

At the end of the class students were able to recognize family members vocabulary in context because they gave some examples about real life, mixing places where they had been before.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $20-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 2 |
| Tópico | Clothes |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de session | 5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 8 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? |  | x |

Comentarios: La sala estaba en condiciones apropiadas para el aprendizaje.

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las profesoras se pasean por la sala para atender las dudas que tenga la estudiante y buscan hacerlas participe.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las alumnas muestran interés por aprender el vocabulario.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

Las profesoras presentan el vocabulario mediante el reconocimiento de algunas imágenes de ropa.
2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

El se sigue el tópico correspondiente durante toda la clase.
3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

Mediante distintas actividades de reconocimiento del vocabulario.
4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

Si, a través de actividades durante toda la clase.
5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

Si las profesoras refuerzan el vocabulario a tratar durante toda la clase.
6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

Las alumnas realizan actividades del libro de acuerdo al vocabulario planteado.
7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

Se describe el atuendo que tienen sus profesoras.

## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in rows, it was clean, there was enough ventilation and the illumination was appropriate. The teachers showed security and that they knew the content. They focused their attention to every student and their doubts. The coordination to talk and made the students participate in the class was the appropriate. The group worked with a booklet.

Teachers made students to play games for creating a comfortable context; students were motivated and excited to play that game. The objective of the activity was accomplished, student were ready to learn.

The students looked at pictures about vocabulary and associated words with pictures. They did some activities in the book and then the teachers checked them one by one.

At the end of the class students were able to recognize the vocabulary that had been taught and talked about their own outfit using the vocabulary that they learnt that day.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $20-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 1 |
| Tópico | Animals |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 6 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 5 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? | x |  |

Comentarios: La sala se encuentra en un buen ambiente para el aprendizaje y el único de distractor fue una imagen que el profesor tenía para trabajar con ellas.

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las alumnas son partícipes en cada actividad que los profesores plantean.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las estudiantes demuestran una participación activa durante el desarrollo de la sesión.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

Si. La presentación es a través de un audio donde deben reconocer el sonido de algunos de los animales que serán parte del vocabulario.
2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

Durante la sesión se sigue el vocabulario del tópico.
3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

El vocabulario se trabaja con actividades de reconocimiento.
4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

Sí, se trabaja durante la sesión.
5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

Sí, se refuerza con la aplicación de este en las actividades.
6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

Con un crucigrama y la entrega de una guía donde las estudiantes deben escribir el correspondiente nombre bajo cada imagen.
7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

El cierre se realiza con un conteo de palabras que las estudiantes pueden recordar.

## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in a semi-circle near the whiteboard, the teachers were in the middle of the semi-circle and at the back of the classroom there were the rest of the tables and chairs. The topic of the class was related to animals.

The teachers prepared a didactic material; It was a big picture divided in two, at the top of that picture was a farm with all the animals that were included in the specific vocabulary and the other half it was a picture related to wild animals according to the specific vocabulary.

For that class that poster kept students' attention almost completely but in the other hand, students' were so concentrated in that picture that some of them got lost in the class. However, they were motivated to name the animals that appeared in the poster.

By the end of the class teachers asked students about the vocabulary they had learnt that day and the almost all answers were right.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $27-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 2 |
| Tópico | Healthy life |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 7 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Se encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? |  | x |

Comentarios: La sala se encuentra en condiciones apropiadas.

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las profesoras muestran conocimiento y preocupación por el aprendizaje de las estudiantes.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las alumnas muestran interés por el tópico, expresan sus dudas y participan de las actividades.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

La presentación del tema es a través de una lectura.
2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

Se trabaja el tópico durante la sesión.
3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

El tópico se desarrolla y refuerza con actividades del libro.
4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

El vocabulario es trabajado con lecturas y actividades que dependen de estas.
5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

Sí.
6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

Con lecturas en las que después se debe trabajar el vocabulario.
7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

El cierre es una conversación donde las estudiantes comentan las actividades que resultan saludables para ellas.

## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in rows, it was clean, there was enough ventilation and the illumination was appropriate. The teachers showed security and that they knew the content. They focused their attention to every student and their doubts; they were coordinated to talk and made the students participate in the class. The group worked with a booklet.

At the beginning of the class the teachers tried to get all students attention talking about real life situations related to healthy life. Students did some activities about recognizing some words and pictures and some phrases about this.

Even though the teachers tried to guide students' attention to the content, the students got a little bit confused because there were some similar words that made them doubt.

Then the teachers explained again some vocabulary before a reading activity in order to focus their knowledge about the content. Students did the activity because they understood better the vocabulary; in fact they were able to classify those words into healthy or unhealthy.

Pauta de observación.

| Docentes | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fecha | $27-10-2015$ |
| Grupo | 1 |
| Tópico | Food |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ de sesión | 8 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ de alumnas | 5 |

## I. Sala

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. iSe encuentra la sala ordenada? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Está el lugar limpio? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Hay una ventilación óptima? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Es la iluminación apropiada? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hay algún tipo de distractor? |  | x |

Comentarios: Las mesas se encuentran en semicírculo y la mesa del profesor está en medio con algunos alimentos.

## II. Profesoras

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Demuestran conocimiento del tema? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Demuestran interés en la sala de clases? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Prestan atención a las dudas de las estudiantes? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Presentan coordinación al momento de hablar? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Hacen participe a las estudiantes? | x |  |

Comentarios: Las profesoras demuestran conocimiento del contenido. Respetan los turnos de habla y abarcan todas las dudas que van surgiendo.

## III. Estudiantes

|  | SI | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. ¿Muestran interés en lo que se está enseñando? | x |  |
| 2. ¿Manifiestan dudas? | x |  |
| 3. ¿Participan activamente durante la clase? | x |  |
| 4. ¿Hay respeto hacia las docentes y compañeras? | x |  |
| 5. ¿Ayudan a mantener un ambiente grato y propicio para el |  |  |
| aprendizaje? |  |  | x |  |
| :--- |

Comentarios: Las estudiantes se muestran abiertas a participar de la sesión, realizan preguntas y trabajan en las actividades.

## IV. Sesión (describir)

1. ¿Hay una presentación del tema?

La presentación del tema corresponde a un juego de adivinanza, donde ellas deben reconocer qué alimento se les entrega.
2. ¿Se sigue el tópico correspondiente?

Se sigue desde el inicio hasta el final de la sesión.
3. ¿Cómo se desarrolla el tópico?

El tópico es desarrollado a través de actividades que involucran el uso del vocabulario.
4. ¿Se trabaja el vocabulario planteado?

Se trabaja desde el inicio hasta el fin de la sesión.
5. ¿Se refuerza el vocabulario?

Se refuerza con las distintas actividades que se presentan posterior a la presentación inicial de palabras.
6. ¿Con que actividades se evalúa el aprendizaje de las palabras?

Con la entrega de una guía que contiene las imágenes de las palabras entregadas.
7. ¿Cuál es el cierre?

El cierre es un juego de domino que involucra el último vocabulario visto, donde tanto el grupo experimental como control participan.

## V. Observaciones Adicionales:

The classroom was organized in a semi-circle near the whiteboard, the teachers were in the middle of the semi-circle and at the back of the classroom there were the rest of the tables and chairs. The topic of the class was related to food.

The teachers played a game where students needed to eat and guess what they were eating and that catch students' attention completely.

At the end of this activity the students did an activity and classify some food in to something they liked or disliked.

Then students created their own meal with some ingredients they would use using the vocabulary that they learnt that day.

At the end of the class both classes got together and played a twister of food.

Appendix E: Learning Styles Figures

## Results Learning Style Test.

| STUDENTS | LEARNING STYLE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUBJECT } \\ & \text { SAMPLE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ss1 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss2 | VISUAL |  |
| Ss3 | VISUAL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUBJECT } 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~S} 1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss4 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss5 | AUDITORY | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUBJECT } 2 \\ & \text { (S2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss6 | AUDITORY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } 3 \\ & \text { (S3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss7 | AUDITORY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } 4 \\ & \text { (S4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss8 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss9 | AUDITORY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } 5 \\ & \text { (S5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss10 | KINESTHETIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } 6 \\ & \text { (S6) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss11 | AUDITORY |  |
| Ss 12 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss 13 | VISUAL |  |
| Ss 14 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss 15 | AUDITORY |  |
| Ss16 | READER |  |
| Ss 17 | KINESTHETIC |  |
| Ss 18 | VISUAL |  |
| Ss 19 | VISUAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } 7 \\ & \text { (S7) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss20 | AUDITORY |  |
| Ss21 | KINESTHETIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } \\ & 8(\mathrm{~S} 8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss22 | VISUAL |  |
| Ss23 | READER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUBJECT } \\ & 9(\mathrm{~S} 9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ss24 | AUDITORY |  |

- GENERAL RESULTS

CHART I.


- Results learning style test (Experimental group/ Group 1)

CHART II


- Results learning style test (Control group/ Group 2) CHART III



## Appendix F: Booklet

# English Vocabulary Workshop 

## BOOKLET

(Compilation from: Face to Face Elementary Students' book, Touchstone 1 students' book, English Vocabulary in Use Elementary)


Name: $\qquad$

## Session 1: Classroom



A Listen and say the words above. Which things are in your classroom? Check $(\boldsymbol{\checkmark})$ the boxes. What else is in your classroom?

B Look around your classroom. What things are in these places? Write the words below the pictures.
(1) on the wall



C Pair work Ask and answer questions about your classroom.
"What's on the wall?" "A clock, a map, and some posters . . ."

Can you ask tour more questions?

1. Where's the teacher's desk?
2. Where's the TV?
3. Where's the teacher's chair?
4. Where are the students' dictionaries?
5. Where's the computer?
6. Where are the posters?
"Where's the teacher's desk?" "It's in front of the board."

## Session 2: Places

## (5A My kind of place

## QUICK REVIEW •••

Work in pairs. Wite all the words for food and drink you know. Which pair has the most? Which words are countable/uncountable?

Vocabulary Places in a town/the country
a) Tick the words you know. Then do the exercise in V 51 p 131.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a square a park a market } \\
& \text { a büs station a stȧion a lake } \\
& \text { a beach the sea a river a musẻum } \\
& \text { an airport mountains a road a cife } \\
& \text { a bar a shop a flat [Us: an apartment] } \\
& \text { a house a hotel a bed and breakfast }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Vocabulary

b) Which four things from 1a) are important to you where you live and where you go on holiday?

Look at the photos. Which is a big city, a small town, a village, do you thinke Which things from 1a) can you see in the photos?


## VE. Places in a town/the country

## 540 g38

Match the words to pictures a)-1).
a märket
a bus station /'sterfon'
a staition
a lake
a beach fisty!
the sea hisl
a river
a musėurn /mjuz'zi:am/
an airport
moluntains /macontıne/
a road /raod
a calfe
a ber
a shop
a flat [US: an ap3irtment]
a house
a hote

TIPI - a station - a train station.

## Session 3: People we know


$A$
Listen and say the sentences. Do you have friends like these? Tell the class.
$\xrightarrow[\text { sort }]{\text { Word }}$ B How many words can you think of to describe people you know?

| my friends | my best friend | my neighbor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| very smart |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

"My friends are very smart. They're . . ."
2 Building language
A ?
Listen. What is Tim's new boss like? Practice the conversation.

Dana So, how's your new job? Are you busy?
Tim Yes. It's hard work, you know. I'm tired.
Dana Really? What are your co-workers like? Are they nice?
Tim Yes, they are. They're really friendly.
Dana Great. And is your boss OK?
Tim She is, yeah. She's nice. Um . . . she's not very strict. Dana Good, because you're late for work.


B Can you complete these questions and answers? Use your own ideas. Then compare with a partner.
(1)
A How's your new teacher?
$\qquad$ she $\qquad$ ?
$B$ Yes, she $\qquad$ -.
2 A What about your classmates?
$\qquad$ they $\qquad$ —. $B$ Yes, they $\qquad$
(3) A And what's class like?
$\qquad$ it $\qquad$ ? $B$ No, it's not.

## Session 4: Family

A Family words
A family tree for some of Anne and Ivan Sorokin's relatives or relations.


Ivan and Anne and their children
Ivan is Anne's husband and Karen and Jack's father.
Anne is Ivan's wife and Karen and Jack's mother.
Anne and Ivan are Karen and Jack's parents.
Karen is Anne and Ivan's daughter. Jack is their son.
Karen is Jack's sister. Jack is Karen's brother.
Henry and Diana
Henry is Karen and Jack's grandfather. Diana is their grandmother.
Henry and Diana are Karen and Jack's grandparents.
Karen is their granddaughter. Jack is their grandson.
Amelia, George and Meena
George is Karen and Jack's uncle.
Amelia and Meena are Karen and Jack's aunts.
Karen is Amelia, George and Meena's niece. Jack is their nephew.
Kavita and Amal are Karen and Jack's cousins.

## Exercises

1.1 Look at the family tree on the opposite page. Complete the sentences.
1 Kavita is Amal's
2 Amal is Kavita's
3 Anne is Kavita's
4 Ivan is Amal's
5 Diana is Amal's
6 Henry is Kavita's
7 Amal is Ivan's
8 Kavita is Ivan's
9 Meena is Kavita's
10 Meena is George's
11 Karen is Amal's
1.2 The Sorokins have some other relatives. Complete the sentences about them.


Meena has a brother, Sanjay. Sanjay is Kavita and Amal's ${ }^{1}$ uncle $\qquad$ and Sanjay's wife is their ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ Sanjay and his wife have one son, Prem. Prem is an ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$
Henry's parents are still alive. Alexander is Henry's ${ }^{4}$ $\qquad$ and his ${ }^{5}$. $\qquad$ Leila is Henry's ${ }^{6}$. $\qquad$ Alexander and Leila have three ${ }^{7}$ $\qquad$ - Amelia, Ivan and George. Ivan and George and their ${ }^{8}$ $\qquad$ , Anne and Meena, love their ${ }^{9}$. and visit them as often as possible.
1.3 Ask a friend these questions. Then write sentences about your friend and their family. For example, Chen has one brother but no sisters.
1 Have you got any brothers and sisters?
2 Have you got any cousins?
3 Have you got any nieces or nephews?
4 Have you got any grandparents?
5 Do you come from a big family?

## Session 5: Clothes

A Clothes


B Plural words
These words are always plural in English. They need a plural verb.


My suit is new but these trousers are old. Her jeans / shorts / tights are blue.
Note: You say: a pair of trousers / shorts/ glasses, etc.

## Exercises

4.1 Complete the sentences.

1 Joe has a job interview today, so he’' wearing a smart suit $\qquad$ , a white sh. $\qquad$ and at. $\qquad$ ......
2 Julia's not working today, so she's wearing a T- $\qquad$ and sh... $\qquad$ s. s.

3 Liz is going to play tennis. She's wearing white s $s$ and tr. $\qquad$ .
4 Gianni is going to a business meeting. He's $\qquad$ ab. $\qquad$ with his papers and laptop.
5 My trousers are too big. I have to wear a $b$. $\qquad$
6 It's cold today. I'll wear my j. $\qquad$ , and I'll take my c. $\qquad$ too.
4.2 Match the item of clothing with the part of the body.
scarf belt shoe hat glove glasses tights rime

4.3 Complete the sentences with one of the verbs in the box and put it in the right form.
be wear carry have
1 Nick's jeans are $\qquad$ blue and his T-shirt $\qquad$ red.
2 Julia $\qquad$ jeans and a T-shirt today.
3 Meena $\qquad$ got a red coat on and she. $\qquad$ some flowers.
4 Sarah's dress $\qquad$ . old but her shoes
$\qquad$ new.
5 Last year Jim's trousers $\qquad$ white. Now they $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$ this a new pair of jeans?
7 My favourite pyjamas $\qquad$ dark green.
8 Kim $\qquad$
4.4 Label the picture.


## Session 6: Animals

A Farm animals


| animal | produce | baby |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| horse | hair, meat | foal |
| cow | milk, leather, meat (beef) | calf |
| sheep | wool, meat (lamb) | lamb |
| pig | meat (pork, bacon, ham) | piglet |
| chicken / hen | eggs, meat (chicken) | chick |
| goat | milk, goatskin, meat | kid |



C Pets
These animals are often pets.


A parrot is a bird.
D Expressions
Take your dog for a walk every day.
You must feed your animals and give them water every day.
Do you have any pets?

## Exercises

29.1 Complete these sentences.

| A tortoise goen goe to sleep in winter. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $4 .$. |  |
| 5 You can ride a ................... and an .... |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 8 ...............are very good at hopping and jumping. |  |
| 9 Don't forget to .... 10 my dog for a walk every day before scmen |  |
|  |  |

29.2 Match the animal with its meat and with its young animal.

| animal | meat | young |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| goat | lamb | calf |
| sheep | chicken | piglet |
| ow | pork | lamb |
| hen | beef | kid |
| pig |  | chick |

29.3 Look at the animals opposite. Find three animals which:

1 eat meat.

2 give us things that we wear.
3 produce their babies in eggs.
4 we can eat.
$\qquad$
29.4 Look at the pictures and complete the crossword.
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## Session 7: Healthy Life

## 10A A healthy heart

## QUICK REVIEW •••

Work in groups. Tell other students one thing your always, usually, often, sometimes, don't often, hardly ever, never do at home.

## Vocabulary Health

a) Tick the phrases you know. Then do the exercise in Language Summary 10 vio. pl 42.

Do exarcise lose weight stop moxing get stiesed get fit goto the gym have a heirst atuck ent fied tood ahk akohol highlow in tis.
b) Which of these phrises match a healthy or unhealihy lifestyle?
c) Work in groups. Do you think you have a healthy or an unheathy lifestyle? Why?

## Reading and Grammar

a)

Read the article again and find four things that are good for your heart and four that are bad. Does anything in the article surprise you?
a) Work in pairs. Ask your partner how often hefshe does these things.
How often do you
eat red meat?
1 cat red meat
2 do some exercise
3 get very stressed
4 go on holiday
5 eat fish
6 drink more than one glass of alcohol
7 have less than six hours' sleep
b) Who has a healthier lifestyle - you or your partner? Why?

## TOP TIPS FOR f HERLTHY HEART!

Heart disease kills more people in Britain than any other Tolisease, including cancer. One adult dies every three minutes from heart dsease and it's more common in men than women. Age is also important. $80 \%$ of people who de of heart attaciss are 65 or older. And its an amazing fact that five times more men die of heart disease in the UK then in Jopan. But there are mamy things you can do to help your heart stivy healthy. Here are our top tips for a healtyy heart!


## Language Summary 1C





A Listen and say the words. Which foods do oou like? Which don't youl ike? Tell the class.


## Appendix G: Deliberately Developed Material

## Session 1: Classroom

- Worksheet Vocabulary

- Write the correct word below each picture, according to the vocabulary learned during the lesson

- Label the object.



## Session 2: Places

- Worksheet Vocabulary

- Station corners

- Warm up: Bingo

- Worksheet Vocabulary

Session 3: People we know

- Write the correct word below each picture, according to the vocabulary learned during the lesson.

- Flashcards

- Word Puzzle


## People we know

## Adjectives

| E X V Y E B U A E E T X X K |
| :---: |
| U I D GK A F R U ECFENEA |
| S W E G Y V B O P W R F CR Q Z |
| A Z Q M EAOQVSHGROEE |
| D J A EACCUIAPGDPCE |
| OUT G O I N G T B S ON I CE |
| E Z O I F P G L SMAR T F I Y |
| X B U T R I T Q OCC Y Z TM J |
| Y E O B I Y K C W X R R W Y O V |
| D E U O E I N T ERES T I N G |
| K M Z ENL S I EREYNOGA |
| $B Z U C D A H U A U T I L C I E$ |
| U ONEL Z Y H L COI O F A A |
| S Q OL Y Y A U W Y Q M D A Y I |
| Y G EQU I E T AK J OBPRW |
| AMSGVOHUFUNZXQGT |
| Nice Busy |
| Outgoing Quiet |
| Smart Fun |
| Friendly Shy |
| Interesting Lazy |

## Session 4: Family

- Warm up: Guess the character (charades) and classify them.

- Worksheet Vocabulary



## - Famous Families



Session 5: Clothes

- Warm up: Memorize

- Fashion show from magazine

- Vocabulary worksheet

- Write the correct word below each picture, according to the vocabulary learned during the lesson.

[227]
- Dress up the character



## Session 6: Animals

- Vocabulary worksheet

- Animals' poster



## - Crossword

I. Complete the crossword with the names of the following animals.
1)

2)

4)


6)
7)
8)

ession 7: Healthy life

- Healthy and unhealthy activities

- Hearts: healthy and unhealthy actions

- Vocabulary worksheet



## Session 8: Food

- Labeling food

- Vocabulary worksheet

- Dominoes



## Appendix H: Validation Forms

## - Interview Validation Forms



## FORMULARIO DE VALIDACIÓN

Estimado Colega:
Muchas gracias por aceptar esta invitación a validar este instrumento de recolección de datos. Todos sus comentarios serán considerados importantes para mejorar el respaldo del siguiente instrumento.

REPORTE DE VALIDACIÓN:

| 1. Breve resumen de sus impresiones. | Alpunas prepuntor requiexen diceccionarse |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. ¿Qué áreas claves para el mejoramiento de la calidad identificas? | Focelizerse en un solo carotemido (or preyunte y mo dos pregunters en una. simpre individuelía los pregontes. |
| 3. ¿Qué recomendación le darías a los investigadores para mejorar el instrumento? | Siempre preyuntor lo que mecesitos sober No welizar lrepur tel extro 5. lagentos abiertos (uny cocos) o cerradas (más) No mez |
| 4. ¿Algún comentario sobre la efectividad del instrumento que has revisado? | Interezante. De seguro hito penser a los profiscuns. |
|  |  |



## FORMULARIO DE VALIDACIÓN

## Estimado Colega:

Muchas gracias por aceptar esta invitación a validar este instrumento de recolección de datos. Todos sus comentarios serán considerados importantes para mejorar el respaldo del siguiente instrumento.

## REPORTE DE VALIDACIÓN:



## - Tests Validation Form



## FORMULARIO DE VALIDACIÓN

## Estimado Colega:

Muchas gracias por aceptar esta invitación a validar este instrumento de recolección de datos. Todos sus comentarios serán considerados importantes para mejorar el respaldo del siguiente instrumento.

REPORTE DE VALIDACIÓN:


Noviembre del 2015.


## FORMULARIO DE VALIDACIÓN

## Estimado Colega:

Muchas gracias por aceptar esta invitación a validar este instrumento de recolección de datos. Todos sus comentarios serán considerados importantes para mejorar el respaldo del. siguiente instrumento.

## REPORTE DE VALIDACIÓN:

| 1. Breve resumen de sus impresiones. | Buen instrumento acorde al contenido |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. ¿Quć árcas claves para el mejoramiento de la calidad identificas? | Orgam'zación |
| 3. ¿Qué recomendación le darías a los investigadores para mejorar el instrumento? | - Ina organización de ejercicios más <br> clara y ordenada, enumerada. <br> - Casilla puntaje / nota. <br> - tamaño de letra |
| 4. ¿Algún comentario sobre la efectividad del instrumento que has revisado? | $r$ |



## - Observation Rubric Validation Forms



## FORMULARIO DE VALIDACIÓN

## Estimado Colega:

Muchas gracias por aceptar esta invitación a validar este instrumento de recolección de datos. Todos sus comentarios serán considerados importantes para mejorar el respaldo del siguiente instrumento.

REPORTE DE VALIDACIÓN:


## Appendix I: Workshop Attendance Sheets

## - Attendance per group

|  | Attendance Group 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjects | Grade | 29-09-15 |  | 06-10-15 |  | 13-10-15 |  | 20-10-15 |  | 27-10-15 |  | 03-11-15 |  | 10-11-15 |  |
|  |  | Diagnostic Test / VARK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Review/ Final Written Test |  | Final Listening Test |  |
| Subject 1 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | . | . | . | . | X | x | . | . | X | X | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 2 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 3 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | . | . | . | . | X | X | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 4 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 5 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 6 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | . | . | X | X | X | X | x | X | . | . | . | . | . | . |


| Subjects | Attendance Group 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade | 29-09-15 |  | 06-10-15 |  | 13-10-15 |  | 20-10-15 |  | 27-10-15 |  | 03-11-15 |  | 10-11-15 |  |
|  |  | Diagnostic Test / VARK |  |  |  | Review/ Final Written Test | Final Listening Test |  |  |  |
| Subject 7 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | . | . | . | . |  |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 8 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . | . | X | . | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 9 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | X | x | . | . | . | . |
| Subject 10 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | . | . | X | x | X | x | . | . | . | . | . | . | x | x |
| Subject 11 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | x | . | . | x | x |
| Subject 12 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | X | x |
| Subject 13 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | . | . | X | X | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | x | X |
| Subject 14 | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | X | X |

